

The Icelandic Economic Situation

Status Report



ICELAND CHAMBER
OF COMMERCE

The Icelandic Economic Situation

Status Report

Editor

Björn Thor Arnarson

Design

Björn Brynjulfur Björnsson

Ragnar Thorvardarson

Photographs

Eggert Oddur Birgisson

Current edition

13th edition (April 2011)

Earlier editions

12th edition (August 2010)

11th edition (May 2010)

Other editions published from October 2008 until April 2010.

This publication can be copied without a licence from the Iceland Chamber of Commerce as long as the source is cited clearly.

Up to date information about the Status Report

The Status report is updated regularly. The most recent version of the report can be found on www.chamber.is/statusreport

Be informed - mailing list

If you would like to be informed about updated versions of the Status Report the Chamber has set up a mailing list on www.chamber.is/maillinglist

For further information contact the staff of the Chamber, see [here](#).

About the Iceland Chamber of Commerce

The Iceland Chamber of Commerce (ICoC) is an overall organization of corporations, firms and individuals in Icelandic business. Anyone doing business, whether it is on a small or on a large scale, can be a part of the Chamber. The ICoC is the private sector's platform to achieve any kind of progress towards a better business environment and increased economic prosperity.



ICELAND CHAMBER
OF COMMERCE

Iceland Chamber of Commerce

Kringlan 7

103 Reykjavík

ICELAND

www.chamber.is

Tel. +354 510 7100

Disclaimer

The Iceland Chamber of Commerce assumes no responsibility or liability for any injury, loss or damage incurred as a result of any use or reliance upon the information and material contained within or downloaded from this report. The Iceland Chamber of Commerce is furthermore unable to provide any warranty concerning the accuracy or completeness of any information contained in this report or of any information held within third party websites. Furthermore, by linking to other websites, the Iceland Chamber of Commerce in no way endorses the views or information held within such websites and is unable to grant permission to use material found on such sites.

The Icelandic Economic Situation

Status Report



ICELAND CHAMBER
OF COMMERCE

Republic of Iceland

The language is
Icelandic

The population is
320,000


The governmental system is a
Constitutional republic with
Universal suffrage

Per capita GDP in PPP terms in 2009 was
30,000 EUR

The capital is
Reykjavik

The monetary unit is
Króna (ISK)

The main religion is
Lutheran, with
80%
followers



The geographic size is
100,000 km²

Useful websites

[Central Bank of Iceland](#)

[Parliament of Iceland \(Althingi\)](#)

[Government of Iceland](#)

[Statistics Iceland](#)

[OMX Nordic Exchange in Iceland](#)

[Government Debt Management](#)

[Trade Council of Iceland](#)

[National Association of Pension Funds](#)

[Invest in Iceland Agency](#)

[Financial Supervisory Authority](#)

[The Official Gateway to Iceland](#)

[Icelandic Fisheries](#)

[Iceland Chamber of Commerce](#)

Iceland's location on the world map





Introduction

Since October 2008 the Icelandic economy has gone through dramatic changes due to the collapse of its financial sector. Because of a lack of external information flow, a lot of misconceptions have developed concerning the chain of events in the recent scenario and the current status of the Icelandic economy. This *Status Report* is meant to give a better insight into the chain of events and the current economic, business and political landscape in Iceland. Despite substantial difficulties, general economic activity is still well functional and many Icelandic businesses remain strong and prosperous.

The *Iceland Chamber of Commerce* has from the Banking collapse published this Status Report regularly with particular focus on the latest developments and information on the economic climate. The current edition is the 13th since October 2008. Major revisions were made in this version, many graphs, charts and pictures were added. Additionally the Chamber published regularly slides to accompany the status report. The most up to date slides and *Status Report* are always available here: www.chamber.is/statusreport

This report is thought of as a collection of facts, data and references to websites rather than a deep analysis of the economic climate in Iceland. In the report there are hundreds of links which form its foundation.

The *Status Report* is split into three main parts, part A, B and C. Part A, consists of the first 4 chapters and focuses on the general historical background leading up to the collapse and immediate consequences. Part B, chapter 5 to 8 focuses on the current economic landscape in Iceland and prospects. Part C, from chapter 9 through 23, focuses in more detail on more recent economic developments and policy actions. In addition there are 10 annexes which some aspects of the crisis are listed in more detail.

The current economic turmoil in Iceland is part of a complex global financial crisis and is by no means an isolated event. Governments around the world have introduced emergency measures to protect their financial system and rescue their banks, as they suffer from a severe liquidity shortage. Thus far, Iceland has been hit particularly hard by this unprecedented financial storm due to the large size of the banking sector in comparison to the overall economy. The Icelandic Government, both past and present, has taken measures and is tirelessly working towards overcoming the obstacles in the way for stimulating economic growth. In that effort Iceland has been cooperating with its Nordic and European partners and the IMF.

A timeline of the financial crisis in Iceland and the Icesave dispute can be found in [Appendix 1](#).

Contents

1	What happened?	page 11
1.1	What Was Done – Restructuring of the Banking System	page 12
1.2	What Happened to the Icelandic Banks Abroad?	page 13
1.3	The use of anti-terrorism act and the apology	page 13
2	International Monetary Fund (IMF)	page 14
3	Foreign Exchange Markets	page 14
3.1	Unrestricted trade of goods and services	page 14
4	Political Environment: New Government in the spring of 2009	page 15
5	The State of the Icelandic Economy	page 19
5.1	Economic Indicators and Future Prospects	page 19
6	Iceland's debt	page 22
6.1	Public debt	page 23
6.2	Household debt	page 24
6.3	Corporate debt	page 25
6.4	Foreign debt of Iceland	page 25
7	Iceland in an International Comparison	page 26
7.1	The 12 Pillars of Competitiveness	page 26
8	Weaknesses of the Economy	page 27
8.1	Capital markets in Iceland	page 30
9	Political environment	page 34
9.1	Long term economic plan introduced - Iceland 2020	page 34
10	Bank Reconstruction Concluded	page 34
10.1	Overview of the restructured banks	page 35
10.2	Icelandic Banking Agency	page 37
10.3	Restructuring of the savings banks	page 37
11	The Icesave Dispute	page 38
11.1	The August 2009 Icesave Act	page 38
11.2	The December 2009 Act	page 38
11.3	The December act was not confirmed by the President	page 39
11.4	A Negotiation Committee Formed	page 39
11.5	First Icesave referendum	page 40
11.6	An agreement reached	page 40
11.7	A second Icesave referendum - April 2011	page 41
12	The IMF Program	page 46
12.1	The 1 st review approved in October 2009	page 46
12.2	The 2 nd review approved in April 2010	page 46
12.3	The 3 rd review in September 2010	page 47
12.4	The 4 th review concluded in January 2011	page 47
13	The Central bank and Monetary policy	page 48
13.1	Monetary policy from late 2008 until November 2010	page 48
13.2	Review of the Monetary Policy Framework	page 49
13.3	Other matters relating to the Central bank	page 49
14	The Capital Restrictions and foreign exchange markets	page 50
14.1	Developments from October 2010 until March 2011	page 50

14.2	Liberalization plan introduced in March 2011	page 50
14.3	Additional information	page 51
15	The European Union: Application in Process	page 51
15.1	Chief negotiator appointed in November 2009	page 51
15.2	European Commission Delegation Establishment	page 52
15.3	Changes from January until May 2010	page 52
15.4	Progress from June until March 2011 - Candidate status achieved	page 52
16	Supreme Court decision on the legality of loans indexed to foreign currency	page 54
16.1	Guidelines from the Central Bank	page 54
16.2	District and Supreme Court rulings	page 54
17	The State treasury	page 55
17.1	Lower deficit than expected in both 2009 and 2010	page 55
17.2	Fiscal budget for 2011	page 56
17.3	Medium-term Fiscal Plan - Through 2014	page 56
17.4	An example of a few Policy actions	page 56
18	Other initiatives, reports and measures	page 57
19	The Labour market	page 58
19.1	The stability pact	page 58
19.2	Discussions ongoing in April 2011	page 59
20	Investigation commission report on the collapse of Icelandic banks	page 59
20.1	Main findings	page 59
21	Constituent Assembly and National Gathering	page 60
21.1	National gathering	page 60
21.2	Constituent assembly	page 61
21.3	Constitutional Council founded	page 61
22	The Eruption comes to an end	page 62
23	Iceland's Future is Bright	page 62
	About the Iceland Chamber of Commerce	page 64
	Appendix 1: Timeline of the Icelandic Financial Crisis	page 67
	Appendix 2: More on the Emergency Bank Act	page 73
	Appendix 3: Q&A About Foreign Exchange Matters	page 74
	Appendix 4: New Act on the Central Bank of Iceland	page 74
	Appendix 5: The IMF Loan Package and Other Financing	page 75
	Appendix 6: More information on the IMF program	page 77
	Appendix 7: Macroeconomic Forecasts and Other Data Sources	page 77
	Appendix 8: Icesave Timeline	page 78
	Appendix 9: Icesave issue - Background material	page 79
	Appendix 10: Impact of Icesave on credit ratings	page 80



Part A A Historical Perspective


1 What happened?

A globalized economy, and an active participant in the EU's common market through the EEA, Iceland was among the first nations to be seriously affected by the global financial turmoil. The situation has hit Icelandic households hard and many have lost a sizeable portion of their savings and purchasing power. Inflation was in double-digit numbers in late 2008 and early 2009 but has now come down to 1.9% in February 2011 and is stable. Unemployment rose to close to 9.1% in early 2009 but is now around 7.4% and stable (4rd quarter 2010). The domestic currency, the Icelandic Krona (ISK), depreciated significantly after economic collapse but has now stabilized, due to capital controls, and the ISK strengthened by around 13% in 2010.

The large size of the banking sector in comparison to Iceland's overall economy is undoubtedly a driving factor behind the current economic turmoil. Their balance sheets and lending portfolios expanded beyond the capacity of their own infrastructure. The Financial Supervisory Authority (FME) as well as management and supervision within the banks did not keep up with the rapid expansion of lending. The banks' rapid lending growth had the effect that their asset portfolios became fraught with high risk and the quality of portfolio was considerably less than originally estimated.

However, it should be underlined that the Icelandic banks operated under Icelandic and European banking legislation and the strictest of international regulatory standards. Nevertheless, as the liquidity crisis deepened, Iceland's three largest banks, Glitnir, Landsbanki and Kaupthing, whose balance sheets were several times larger than the total output of the Icelandic economy (around 10 times GDP), were no longer able to re-finance their operations.

Figure 1 *The Icelandic Banking system was very large compared to the economy*



As a response to these circumstances, the Icelandic Parliament (Althingi) passed a new law, the new Emergency Bank Act, allowing the Icelandic Financial Supervisory Authority (FSA) to take over the operations of the banks. On the basis of this new law, all three banks have now been restructured and re-capitalized. More information on the new banks is provided in [Chapter 10](#) and on the Emergency Act in [Appendix 3](#).

1.1 What Was Done – Restructuring of the Banking System

Progress in ensuring continued functioning of the Icelandic financial system was achieved at quite an early stage. The Icelandic government prioritized the tasks facing the economy based on their importance for the general public. The first task was to secure the functioning of the domestic banking, payment and settlement systems. The stock market was re-opened even though the operations were obviously limited considering that the turnover of the stock exchange consisted mostly of transactions related to the financial sector. See chapters below for a discussion of measures taken after the collapse.

Banks split up to “New” and “Old” banks

The three main banks, Glitnir, Kaupthing and Landsbankinn were split into “old banks” and “new banks”. The old banks consist mainly of foreign assets and while the new banks continue to operate in Iceland.

The new banks were originally financed by the Treasury. However, the creditors of the old banks have recapitalized the new banks, Arion bank (new Kaupthing) and Islandsbanki (new Glitnir) while Landsbanki continues to be mostly owned and funded

Figure 2 The banks that were placed in receivership by the FME were subsequently split into “new” and “old” banks





Figure 3 A timeline of the Icelandic financial crisis



by the Treasury. Re-capitalization and reconstruction of the banking system has been concluded. See [Chapter 10](#) for more information.

Further information about the old banks can be found [here](#) (for Kaupthing Bank), [here](#) (for Landsbanki) and [here](#) (for Glitnir Bank).

1.2 What Happened to the Icelandic Banks Abroad?

The Icelandic banks' operations abroad were inevitably affected by the restructuring of the Icelandic banking system. Some of the banks continued to operate abroad as before, like Glitnir in Norway and Kaupthing in Luxemburg, most under new names and owners. Others e.g., Landsbankin's operations in the UK, were shut down and their assets will be used to reimburse deposits and other outstanding liabilities – with the backup of the Deposit Guarantee Funds in the respective country according to EU/EEA regulations.

Furthermore, Iceland worked closely and constructively with other countries to address problems that had arisen in connection with the government takeover of Iceland's three largest commercial banks. The government of Iceland clearly stated that it intended to honor its legal commitments and seek close cooperation with other countries' authorities. See [Chapter 10](#) and appendices 8, 9 and 10 on the Icesave Dispute.

In several countries, the respective Government stepped in and offered further support to their banks, including Icelandic owned subsidiaries. In Sweden and Norway, for example, measures were taken by the Government to ensure the ongoing operation of banks. This was the best way to safeguard assets and investments, which might otherwise have been sold prematurely or liquidated at a price well below true value.

1.3 The use of anti-terrorism act and the apology

However, the assets of Landsbanki in the UK were frozen via provisions in the Anti-Terrorism, Crime and Security Act from 2001. This was forcefully opposed through diplomatic channels with British authorities, as this clearly had a very damaging effect on the Icelandic banking sector and put Icelandic companies in Britain in a difficult situation. Iceland has also taken the issue up for discussion within NATO and Icelandic authorities have considered legal action. This was one of the main factors leading to

disruptions in Iceland's international payments and settlements system. See [Chapter 10](#) and appendices 9, 10 and 11 on the Icesave Dispute.

On November 12th 2010 the British Secretary of State for Defense Liam Fox, apologized to Iceland through the Norwegian newspaper Aftenposten for the use of anti-terrorism law against Iceland. See [here](#).

2 International Monetary Fund (IMF)

Figure 4 *The IMF's Board of Directors, which approved the Icelandic stabilization program in 2008.*



Source: www.imf.org

The Icelandic government reached an agreement with the International Monetary Fund (IMF), on 24th of October 2008, on a comprehensive stabilization program, which includes a \$2.1 billion loan from the IMF. There are three main objectives of the IMF program:

- To contain the negative impact of the crisis on the economy by restoring confidence and stabilizing the exchange rate in the near-term.
- To promote a viable domestic banking sector and safeguard international financial relations by implementing a sound banking system strategy that is nondiscriminatory and collaborative.
- To safeguard medium-term fiscal viability by limiting the socialization of losses in the collapsed banks and implementing an ambitious multi-year

fiscal consolidation program. See [here](#)

Despite some delays, especially on the 1st review, significant progress was made towards attaining these goals as was confirmed in the IMF's first progress report, which was issued in the first week of February 2009. Further information on the Economic Program can be found [here](#). The most up to date statements from the IMF regarding Iceland can be found [here](#). Information and press releases from the Resident Representative Office in Iceland can be found [here](#)

The IMF's Executive Board approved the 1st review of the Economic Recovery Program on 28th of October 2009, the 2nd review on 16th of April 2010, the 3rd review on 29th of September 2010 and 4th review on January 11th 2011. The program is expected to conclude in August 2011. See [Chapter 12](#).

3 Foreign Exchange Markets

In order to prevent a potential shortage of foreign currency, the Central Bank of Iceland implemented temporary restrictions on foreign exchange transactions with the Icelandic krona (ISK). These restrictions caused some initial frictions and problems with payments and settlements of international transfers between Iceland and other countries. However, there are no restrictions on currency transactions relating to the import and export of goods and services.

3.1 Unrestricted trade of goods and services


On November 28th 2008, the Icelandic Parliament approved an amendment of Act no. 87/1992 on Foreign Exchange. The Act gave the Central Bank temporary authorization to set rules limiting international capital movements, with the aim of restricting the outflow of foreign currency, for instance, by imposing obligations to repatriate foreign currency. It includes a temporary clause which authorizes the Central Bank to impose rules that prohibit foreign exchange related to capital account transactions. The purpose is to prevent excessive short term volatility in the ISK and is in line with the conditions of the IMF Stand-by Arrangement. Initially every restriction allowed by the law was implemented by the CB. The amended Act made it however clear that all transactions connected to import and export of goods and services are - and will continue to be - unrestricted.

On April 4th 2009 the Parliament approved amendments to the Act on Foreign currency. The ISK exchange rate had been sliding steadily, and there were strong indications that the objective of building up strong foreign currency reserves through mandatory currency repatriation might be in jeopardy. This was attributed primarily to the fact that exporters were not obliged to receive payment for their products in foreign currency.

The amendment was intended to plug this loophole in the legislation. It adds a temporary provision to the Act on Foreign Currency, stipulating that exports of goods and services must be concluded in foreign currency. Following the amendment to the Customs Act, the price of exports recorded on export declarations must be in foreign currency and the enforcement of these provisions will be monitored

On 28th of November 2009 the Act was amended further. See [Chapter 14](#) and appendix 2 and 3 for a further discussion. A liberalization plan was published on March 25th 2011. See [Chapter 13](#).

Figure 5 *The exchange rate of the Euro against the Krona*



4 Political Environment: New Government in the spring of 2009

Due to strong social pressure and extraordinary circumstances, former Prime Minister Mr. Geir H. Haarde made an announcement in late January that national elections were to be held in May 2009. The coalition Government of the right-wing Independence Party and the Social Democratic Alliance came to an end a few days later.

A week later, a new Government of the Social Democratic Alliance and the Left-Green Movement was formed. According to its official announcement its goal was to assure an effective administration to carry out urgent measures, particularly for the benefit of households and businesses, for rebuilding the banking system, in the field of administrative reform and to carry out measures in favor of increased democracy.

The economic policy of the Government was based on the program already established

Figure 6 Prime Minister Johanna Sigurdardottir's first government, formed in May 2009



Source: www.government.is

by the authorities and the IMF. The Government announced it would conduct a prudent fiscal policy according to which the fundamentals of the welfare system would be protected. The two parties agreed on holding early elections, set for 25th of April 2009. The Progressive Party defended the Government in the event of motions of no-confidence.

Following the outcome of the national elections, the Social Democrats and Left-Greens now hold a majority of seats in the Parliament, 34 MP's against the oppositions 29 MP's. The parties decided to continue their coalition partnership and have formed a new Government. According to its announcement the central aim of the Government is to rebalance the state budget by 2013 while at the same time implementing an ambitious plan of job creation and innovation to restore Iceland's position among the most energetic and competitive states in the world by 2020. See information about the 2020 plan [here](#).

A press release with further information on the agenda for the first 100 days of the new Government as well as the co-operation statement by the new Government can be found [here](#).

For more information on the fiscal policies and updated political framework see Part C of the report.

There have also been made some amendments to the Act on the Central Bank of Iceland, which are outlined in [Chapter 12](#) and [Appendix 4](#).

A Special Investigation Commission (SIC) of Parliament was formed to investigate the collapse of the banking sector and i.e. whether any public officials were responsible of misconduct. The report was published in April 2010. See [Chapter 20](#) for more information and [here](#).





Part B The Economic Landscape

5 The State of the Icelandic Economy

The Icelandic economy has suffered severe shocks in the last three years. The whole banking system collapsed in a few weeks, currency restrictions were imposed, the Icelandic Krona currency (ISK) fell dramatically and both household and corporate debt soared. The economy still suffers from various consequences of the banking collapse.

Despite these weaknesses, it should not be forgotten that the economy is based on strong foundations and contains an abundance of opportunities. It is important that public debate on future prospects of the Icelandic economy is based on facts rather than primarily opinions and unsupported statements. The aim of this chapter is to describe the state of the Icelandic economy, based on economic indicators and international comparisons, and thus contribute to a fact-based discussion.

This part is divided into five chapters: Economic indicators and future prospects, Iceland's debt, Iceland in international comparison, Weaknesses of the economy and Capital markets in Iceland.


5.1 Economic Indicators and Future Prospects

Gross Domestic Product (GDP) is Recovering

The recession has most likely hit the bottom and positive economic growth is predicted to resume in early 2011. Statistics Iceland's (SI) National Forecast predicts a recovery in the coming years and, if that holds, the worst consequences of the banking collapse are over and economic build-up and a rising standard of living will resume. SI predicts a higher GDP in real terms in 2014 than in 2007, when Iceland's standard of living was at the highest level.


Economic forecasts can be found [here](#) and [here](#).

Figure 7 Real GDP in Iceland, 2009 prices



Sources: Statistics Iceland; Iceland Chamber of Commerce calculations

Figure 8 *Gross Domestic Product per capita, adjusted for Purchasing Power Parity*




GDP is Still High by International Standards

Despite a deep recession, Iceland still has a high GDP per capita compared to other economies. Countries like Germany, Britain and Finland still have a lower GDP per capita than Iceland and despite a much smaller recession in Denmark and Sweden, their GDP per capita is similar to Iceland's.

The Icelandic Nation is Young

A nation's age structure is an important indicator of its capability to maintain economic growth in the long term. Young nations are in a better position than older nations to maintain this growth. Iceland's average age is substantially lower than in West-Europe, which is a positive sign for long-term economic growth.


Figure 9 *An age pyramid of the Western-European and Icelandic populations*



Budget Deficit Below OECD-average

The Icelandic Budget Deficit has been reduced relatively fast thus far, although corporate investment ability and household consumption have suffered because of the substantial fiscal contraction. OECD's forecast assumes that in only two years, the budget deficit will be completely eliminated. It is important for a resumed economic growth that the deficit be eliminated as soon as possible so public debt can be reduced.

Figure 10 *Public budget deficit, percentage of GDP*




Unemployment Lowering This Year

As a consequence of the collapse, unemployment in Iceland multiplied and went up to 9%, it's highest point in the beginning of 2010. This rise seems to have stopped and the Icelandic central bank now forecasts a sharp reduction in unemployment in the coming years. If the forecast realizes, the labor market's darkest days are behind and employment will increase steadily in the coming years.

Unemployment Lower than in OECD

Despite currently having a high level of temporary unemployment, total unemployment in Iceland is still lower than the OECD unemployment average. Iceland has a higher level of unemployment than in Norway and Denmark, but less than in Germany and Sweden, for example. If the temporary unemployment resulting from the banking collapse will reduce in the coming years, like the Icelandic central bank forecasts, Iceland could reclaim its position as one of the OECD economies with the highest employment levels.

Figure 11 *Unemployment in 2010*




Source: OECD

Iceland's Living Standard the Same as in 2004

Statistics Iceland conducts a yearly living standard survey by surveying household residents about their assessments of their own standard of living and financial situation. The results of the 2010 survey are worse than in 2007, when defaults and financial troubles were at a historical low. However, the 2010 results are similar to the outcome in 2004, which suggests that the living standard is similar to levels before the housing and the financial bubble.

Figure 12 *Results from Statistics Iceland's Living Standard Survey*




Source: Statistics Iceland

Inflation Has Reduced


With the fall of the Icelandic Krona in 2007 and 2008, a sharp surge in inflation ensued because of rising costs of all imported goods. The surge, however, has fully passed, because of substantial reduction in demand and there is even a chance that deflation could ensue. The Icelandic central bank now forecasts that inflation will be very close to the target inflation of 2.5% until the end of 2013. It is important that inflation is close to this target so interest rates can be lowered and economic stability obtained.

Figure 13 *Inflation in Iceland*



Source: Central Bank of Iceland

Figure 15 ISK exchange rate



The Icelandic Krona is Strengthening

From the beginning of 2010, both domestic and offshore exchange rate of the Icelandic Krona against the Euro has strengthened despite a sharp reduction in Icelandic interest rates. These currency developments are not highly significant because of low turnover in the offshore markets and currency restrictions on the domestic exchange rates. A trade surplus, lower inflation and reduced budget deficit will hopefully contribute to an environment where currency restrictions can be removed and the Icelandic Krona will strengthen further.

Figure 14 Icelandic Credit Default Swap (CDS) premium



The Icelandic CDS Premium is Going Down

The Icelandic Credit Default Swap (CDS) premium rose dramatically in late 2008 when the banks collapsed. However, since the start of 2009, the rate has been steadily going down. This is favorable for large public companies such as Landsvirkjun Power (Iceland's largest electricity producer), because the company's bond issues have followed this rate, so the cost of capital for various infrastructure constructions is going down, which improves the overall economic environment.

Figure 16 The logo of Danske Bank (click to see



Favorable forecast by Danske Bank

On April 12th 2011, Lars Christensen, the chief analyst at Danske Bank, presented a new forecast on the Icelandic economy at a meeting with VIB (the wealth management wing of Islandsbanki). He predicts that the Icelandic GDP will grow by three to four percent per year over the next two

to three years. Christiansen said that the recent 'no' vote in the Icesave referendum increases uncertainty, but that it is unlikely to delay Iceland's economic revival. Inflation will continue its downward trend and will be below the Central Bank of Iceland target rate of 2.5 percent for the next three years, Danske Bank predicts. See [here](#). See a video of the meeting [here](#). See the report [here](#).

6 Iceland's debt

The total amount of Iceland's foreign debt has been the subject of much debate since the collapse of the banks, and there is still considerable uncertainty about the accurate amount of Iceland's debt. The Central Bank of Iceland recently published a report called "What does Iceland owe?" as a part of its publication series Economic Affairs. In the report, the current debt of Iceland is assessed. The report can be obtained [here](#) as of early April the report is only available in Icelandic, an English translation is pending.

6.1 Public debt

Prospects for lower public debt

According to numbers from Statistics Iceland it is estimated that total debt of central and local government is around 120% of GDP in 2010. In the Budget bill for 2011 there is an estimate of the current debt level and prospects of the government. It is estimated that debt will decline in the coming years and in 2014 will the gross debt be around 60% of GDP and net debt around 40%. Finally, the outcome of the public finances was much more favorable in 2009 and 2010 than had been projected. The growth and amount of public debt is therefore lower.

Figure 17 Net public debt in Iceland, % of GDP



Sources: Ministry of Finance, Statistics Iceland, Central Bank of Iceland

Interest Payments Have to be reduced - Net Debt Decreases During the Year

Net foreign interest payments have risen primarily because of loans that the Government has taken from the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and other countries to increase the Central Bank's foreign currency reserves. These interest payments need to be reduced by reducing public debt. For that, stronger economic growth is needed to facilitate a reversal in the fiscal position.

In this year's budget bill, a cost of about 75 billion ISK is reserved for interest payments, a substantial amount of the total budget. This amount will have to decrease fast to facilitate economic growth and a reversal of recent tax raises. According to the Icelandic Central Bank's forecast, the debt has already reached its height and will be decreasing in the coming years. It is important to increase fiscal policy restraints to reduce this debt as soon as possible.

Impact of Icesave on public debt

The Treasury's debt level and associated interest cost burden have turned out to be less than originally estimated, and the outlook for recovery of claims to finance the Icesave liabilities of the Depositors' and Investors' Guarantee Fund (DIGF) has improved; thus there is hope of a more favorable outcome in that matter than previously expected. The Resolution Committee of Landsbanki expects that the recovery ratio of their assets will be around 90%. According to the Icesave agreement with the UK and NL from December 2010 the cost to the Icelandic Government of meeting this obligation because of Icesave is estimated to be between ISK 32 billion (Down from 240 billion ISK in 2009). It is estimated that the Icesave agreement could raise the level of public debt relative to GDP by 2%. See more information about Icesave in [Chapter 11](#).

CBI purchases Avens B.V. bonds from Landsbanki Luxembourg S.A

On May 18th 2010 the Central Bank of Iceland, on behalf of itself and the National Treasury of Iceland, concluded an agreement with the Banque centrale du Luxembourg (BCL) and the liquidator of Landsbanki Luxembourg S.A., concerning the purchased all of outstanding Avens B.V. bonds. The bonds were owned by Landsbanki Luxembourg S.A. and pledged to BCL as collateral for a liquidity facility provided in 2008. Landsbanki Luxembourg S.A. was a subsidiary of Landsbanki Íslands hf. See [here](#) and [here](#).

Bonds sold to pension funds to lower debt

The Central Bank of Iceland reached an agreement on 31st of May 2010 with 26 pension funds according to which the funds purchased the Avens B.V. bonds the Central Bank purchased from BCL. The total nominal value of the bonds is 90.2 b.kr., and the sale is made with the aim of expanding the foreign exchange reserves.

Furthermore, it reduces the Treasury's net foreign-denominated debt, which had increased because of the financing of the aforementioned purchase. According to the agreement, the pension funds will sell foreign assets and pay for the bonds in Euros, remitting a total of EUR 549 million. This transaction increases the Central Bank of Iceland's foreign exchange reserves by 82 b.kr. (512 million euros), or by 17%.

The transactions (i.e. CBI purchase of the Avens B.V. bonds and re-selling them to domestic pension funds) has a positive overall effect on the Icelandic economy. First, both total debt and net debt are reduced by over 3½% of GDP. The Treasury's total debt in foreign currency increases by just over 3½% of GDP, but the foreign exchange balance of the Treasury and the Central Bank improves by the equivalent of 5½% of GDP. With this transaction, it can be said that the Treasury has obtained financing equivalent to 0.75% fixed interest for 15 years which is considerably lower than prior financing. The agreement also ensured that revenues of the government are in line estimates. For more information see [here](#) and [here](#).

6.2 Household debt

In consultation between the Government and representatives of the parliamentary opposition it was decided in October 2010 to convene a Working Group of Experts from ministries, financial institutions and the Household Association to assess the cost of various approaches to resolving the problem of household debt. The report was published on November 10th 2010. According to the report between 10.700 (14.7%) and 18.700 (18%) of households are facing payment problems or are in distress. The Working Group considered 11 different ways to address the problem. For more information about the results see [here](#).

On December 3rd 2010 the Icelandic government signed a declaration of intent with the country's pension funds and loan providers aimed at tackling the problems of indebted householders. A government press release estimates that some 60,000 households could benefit from the new deal.

First of all, the requirements to qualify for financial help were lowered, to make it easier for people to receive assistance. Second, the government made it easier to choose the "110% way", wherein a household's total debt is reduced to 110% of their property's current value; leaving the debtor with monthly payments not exceeding a maximum of 20 percent of their earnings. Third, the government planned to completely re-organize interest schemes. The declaration allowed for the worst positioned householders to reduce their debt by up to 70 percent of the value of their property. A government press release estimates that some 60,000 households could benefit from the new deal. For more information see [here](#) and [here](#).

Information on other measures can be found [here](#).

The Central Bank held a symposium at the Central Bank of Iceland in April 2010 on the position of Icelandic households and what debt restructuring measures can achieve. See [here](#).

6.3 Corporate debt

On December 15th 2010 an extensive agreement was introduced regarding the debt restructuring of small and medium enterprises. The agreement, called “The straight way” or “Rígh track” (is. Beina brautin) involves speeding up the process of debt restructuring for small and medium enterprises, featuring common guidelines and reforms to the tax code. Financial institutions aim at concluding a review of the companies concerned before June 2011 and offering viable enterprises debt restructuring. This action is viewed by many as a pivotal point of the restructuring of the small and medium sized companies in Iceland corporate sector. See [here](#).

See the Iceland Chamber of Commerce information site about the “Straight way” project, see [here](#) (only in Icelandic).

6.4 Foreign debt of Iceland

The nation’s gross foreign debt is estimated to be about 320% of GDP at the end of 2010. The foreign debt of the state and municipalities, which is about 60% of GDP, is predicted to decrease rapidly in the coming years. The growth of public debt has decreased due to a better fiscal outcome than expected as described in [Chapter 17](#).

External debt (foreign debt) of Iceland totaled 13,700 b.kr. at the end of the 4th quarter of 2010. Thereof external debt of financial institutions undergoing winding-up proceedings were 10,400 b.kr.


or about 75% of total external debt (see Figure above). The assets of the fallen banks will go towards the external debt of those institutions and the outstanding amount will be written off. The government is therefore not responsible for that amount.

It gives therefore a better picture of the external position to look at the figures excluding the financial institutions undergoing winding-up proceedings (see figure above without DMBs winding up). Then the assets totaled 2,580 b.kr. and liabilities 2,947 b.kr., net external position was therefore negative by 368 b.kr. According to those numbers the net external position of Iceland is around 24% of GDP in 2009. Note that the ownership of foreign creditors through the resolution committees and the Icesave obligations are not included in that figure. More information about the position in the 4th quarter of 2010 can be found [here](#). The most up to date figures can be found [here](#) and information on the balance of payments can be found [here](#).

Most Favorable International Investment Position in Ten Years

Ongoing and substantial trade deficit in Iceland’s international trade from 2002 to 2008 accumulated about 1,380 billion ISK in total in 2009. One would think that a trade deficit of that magnitude would result in a less favorable net external position, but the opposite has occurred. When the failed banks’ foreign debts were separated from both the new banking system and the state, the deficit – largely accumulated in the failed banks’

Figure 18 External debt of Iceland by category



balance sheets - did not fall on the Icelandic economy, and the net external position is now the most favorable in ten years.


Iceland's Debt is Manageable Compared to Other Economies

If Iceland's net debt as a percentage of GDP is compared to other OECD economies, one can see that Iceland is below the average. Compared to Ireland, where the state overtook the banks' debts, Iceland has lower debt. The Icelandic so-called Emergency Laws Act, which separated the bank's debts from the state, contributes the most to this lower debt. Had the Icelandic state overtaken the banks' debts, the debt would have been unbearable. Possible Icelandic state obligations because of failed Landsbanki's Icesave deposit accounts in the UK and Netherlands are not included in these numbers. Those obligations could amount to about 1-3% of GDP according to Landsbanki's newest estimations. See [Chapter 11](#) on Icesave dispute.

7 Iceland in an International Comparison

The figure below shows Iceland's rank on various competitiveness rankings today compared to it's rank in 2007, the peak of the Icelandic economic expansion. Despite a lowering rank on a number of lists, Iceland still stands in front on most lists.

Figure 19 *Iceland's ranking in various competitiveness rankings*



7.1 The 12 Pillars of Competitiveness

The World Economic Forum publishes its Global Competitiveness Report annually. It determines a country's competitiveness from 12 main pillars. Iceland has a very different ranking among those pillars in the newest publication. It ranks very high on pillars such as institutions and infrastructure, but is drawn down by the macroeconomic environment, market size and financial market development. The WEF points out that the country's various strengths should draw the country out of the poor economic environment before long. Iceland is classified as an innovation economy, suggesting that innovation will be the primary source of economic growth.

Development

Iceland falls 16 places from the UN's 2007 Human Development Index. The reason for this drop is primarily a sharp decrease in national income per capita, which decreased substantially because of the collapse of the major banks and the devaluation of the Icelandic currency. Iceland still ranks very high in education and life expectancy, the other two major factors which compose the index.


Economic Freedom

Iceland drops the most of all countries on the Wall Street Journal's ranking of countries by their economic freedom. The reasons are a large budget deficit, increased size of the general government, macroeconomic instability, tax rises and currency restrictions.

Other Assessments


Many other parties publish competitive lists between countries in various fields. Iceland is in front of many of those lists, including lists on innovation and democracy. The country has declined on lists on globalization, proprietary rights and online administration.

Figure 20 Iceland's rank in the 12 pillars of competitiveness of the WEF report



Source: World Economic Forum

Figure 21 Ranking of Iceland in more competitiveness rankings



8 Weaknesses of the Economy

Despite the state of the Icelandic economy being better than one would think from the media, experience shows that it is important to assess economic weaknesses, and various difficult problems are evident for the Icelandic economy. These problems are evident in both the private and public sectors. Many problems need to be corrected in the following seasons to prevent a further decline in economic prosperity.

Government Operations Unsustainable Without Economic Growth

Despite a fair success in reducing the budget deficit, that success has been at the cost of firms' investment capabilities and individual purchasing power. Instead of reducing the size of the public sector in light of the economic situation, the government has put too much emphasis on taxation to cover public expenditure.

Under present circumstances, the government needs to even the trade and business cycles and encourage long-term economic sustainability. Public debt has soared and greatly increased public interest payments threaten fiscal sustainability. Furthermore, the government's basis of operations has changed significantly with lower tax revenue and higher welfare costs. Public expenditure policy from recent years turned out to be far from sustainable and because of that, the government is now faced with the task of reversing a substantial deficit at the same time as the economy is going through a recession. Additionally, there are also signs of population emigration from Iceland.


Impaired Private Sector

In the wake of the banking collapse, the business environment of Icelandic companies degraded significantly and has not been worse for decades. To name a few examples, corporate debt has risen, costs increased, access to financing is poor and demand has decreased. Because of this, many companies are under the control of financial institutions and bankruptcies are more much common than before.

Resolution of the corporate debt problem has been going slow, but 987 companies declared bankruptcy last year and bankruptcies have never been as many in a single year. Today, many companies are still dealing with significant financial problems. There have been some reactions to solve this situation soon so this trend does not continue, with pertaining curtailment of the standard of living.


The corporate restructuring process is being hurried on one hand, and new business operations are being encouraged on the other hand. The government, the financial system and many private sector interest groups unified at the end of last year to help achieve those goals. The project "Straight way" aims at hurrying the financial

Figure 22 Investment in Iceland as a percentage of GDP has never been lower



Source: Statistics Iceland (national accounts statistics [here](#))

Figure 23 ICoC's Business Forum Publication, click to view (only in Icelandic)



Source: Iceland Chamber of Commerce

restructuring of small and medium-sized companies with a certain amount of debt currently in the banking system. See the Iceland Chamber of Commerce information site about the “Straight way” project, see [here](#) (only in Icelandic).

If successful, the project will improve the general business environment of many more companies, with increased demand. Private sector room for investment and personnel hiring will also increase which affects individual purchasing power and public tax revenue positively.

Lack of investments

One of the main challenges facing the Icelandic economy is to increase investment. Investment in 2010 was at 13% of GDP and has not been lower in the nation's history. Investment needs to increase both from domestic and foreign investors. In February the Iceland Chamber of commerce released a report called *Seizing opportunities - the role of business and industry* focusing on the vast opportunities that can be found in Iceland. See [here](#) (only in Icelandic).

Report of the Special Investigation Commission (SIC)

In addition to economic weaknesses, there are various systemic problems in Icelandic society which need to be addressed. These problems are well-covered in a report by the Special Investigation Commission (SIC), appointed by Alþingi, the Icelandic Parliament, to investigate the reasons for the banking collapse. See [Chapter 20](#) for a further discussion. Below is an overview of weaknesses which should have been addressed before the fall of the banking system.

The private sector

- Fast and risky growth of isolated industries
- Incentive systems within companies which promote risk-taking
- Little understanding of the functioning and development of foreign markets, e.g. financial markets
- Too few and large industries which the public and the whole economy resided in
- Abnormal disbursements to owners and key managers
- Poor dissemination of information to corporate personnel
- Unclear procedure policies or day-to-day methods of work not in accordance with procedure policies
- High level of consolidation of ownership and highly leveraged corporate ownerships of largest shareholders
- Corporations bearing proprietary risk of their own stocks
- Lack of diversity in boards and corporate management, both with regard to experience and education
- Limited information about corporate operations and prospects

The public sector

- Lack of adequate restraints, both from the public and private sectors
- Key participants working against each other

Figure 24 *The Special Investigation Commission report*



Source: Wikipedia (Icelandic), user BIT and Birna Guðmundsdóttir

- Lack of formalism in decision-making processes
- Limited oversight of key participants in public administration
- Lack of intent when solving and following up on affairs
- Limited knowledge and unclear boundaries of responsibility
- Limited preparedness against various setbacks
- Inadequate information-systems
- Government decisions promoting an economic imbalance
- Hiring not based on merit; abilities, knowledge and experience

Opportunities Consist in Solving the Problems

A large part of the problems of Icelandic companies today related to unclear public policy. The most serious problem is higher taxes and uncertainty about how taxation should be in the coming years. Since 2007 over 100 changes to the tax system have been introduced. Another large problem is the general uncertainty about the government's direction in regard to a number of industries.

Despite these home-grown problems, one can see from looking at key economic indicators that the situation in Iceland is much better than what it appeared to be immediately after the banking collapse. Hopes are raised that economic growth is back on track, resuming, public debt is now within manageable levels, the budget deficit will soon be eliminated, a trade surplus will continue, unemployment rates will go down and the inflation is close to its target value. To the same end, Iceland's ranking in an international comparison is still high. Even though Iceland has dropped by a few places when looking at competitiveness, globalization and economic freedom, it nevertheless stands at the forefront of most rankings along with other nations.


Not reducing the problem which inevitably comes with a banking collapse of magnitude like the Icelandic one, the state of the Icelandic economy is nevertheless in many aspects good. This indicates that the foundations which the society was built upon in the last decades were in many ways stronger than some have said. If the right decisions are made, there is a good reason to be optimistic about Iceland's future. In the current situation are possibilities of the utilization of many diverse opportunities, for the good of Iceland and its people.

8.1 Capital markets in Iceland

The Nasdaq OMX Iceland: Slowly Picking up Pace

Before the financial crisis hit Iceland seriously, market cap on the equity market amounted to around 120% of GDP. This number has now been brought down to around 20% of GDP after the collapse of the three biggest banks, Glitnir, Landsbanki and Kaupthing. After trading was suspended for three trading days in the second week of October 2008 the OMX Iceland 15 index closed at 678.4, which corresponds to a plunge of about 77% compared with 3,004.6 closing on October 8th, 2008. This reflects the fact that the value of the three big banks, which formed 73.2% of the value of the OMX Iceland 15, had been set to zero.

On 2nd of January 2009 the OMX Iceland 15 index was replaced with a new index, the OMXI6. The new index comprised of 6 companies instead of 15, which have the most trading volume of the listed companies and which are mostly industry based. The index combination will be revised 1st of January and 1st of July each year.


Figure 25 *The Nasdaq OMX Nordic's Iceland I6 Index***Slowly picking up pace in late 2009 and until March 2011**

Despite the heavy blow the NasdaqOMX Iceland Stock Exchange is on a good recovering phase. The OMXI6 index has risen by nearly around 1000 in April 2011 from a low of 607 in March 2009. The year of 2009 was a record breaking year in the trading volume of bonds.

More information on the NasdaqOMX Iceland Stock Exchange can be found [here](#). Information on the bond market can be found [here](#). General information on the Icelandic stock and bond market can be found [here](#).

Three companies have announced that they will be joining the Icelandic Stock exchange in 2011, they are Marorka, Icelandic Group and Reginn. See [here](#) for information on Reginn.

On November 15th 2010 Össur, a company a global leader in non-invasive orthopedics, has been listed on both NASDAQ OMX Copenhagen and Iceland decided to request delisting from NASDAQ OMX Iceland. The Company will focus on the listing in Copenhagen. See [here](#).

Figure 26 *Icelandic bond indexes from 2005 to 2011*



Part C

Spring 2009 until March 2011

Significant progress has been made since spring 2009, both in terms of the financial sector and the Government's program with the IMF. A few highlights are listed in the table below.

Progress Made Since Spring 2009 - Some Highlights

The Banks

Reconstruction has been concluded. ([Chapter 10](#))

The Icesave Dispute

Second referendum on April 9th 2011. ([Chapter 11](#))

The IMF Program

4th review concluded in January 2011. Program expected to conclude in August/September 2011. ([Chapter 12](#))

Monetary Policy

Interest rates lowered from 10% to 4% since January 2010. At 4% since December 2010. ([Chapter 13](#))

Capital Restrictions

First stage of liberalization concluded. Plan on the liberalization was published on March 25th 2011. ([Chapter 14](#))

The European Union

Candidate status achieved and formal negotiations have begun ([Chapter 15](#))

The State Treasury

Hit Hard by the Turmoil. Better outcome in 2009 and 2010 than expected. Private and public debt: Reconstruction of household and corporate debt in progress. New measures taken in late 2010. ([Chapter 17](#))

The Stability Pact

Collective wage agreements expired in late 2010. Negotiations coming to an end (as of April March 2011). ([Chapter 19](#))

The Investigative Report

Detailed report on the banking crisis published in spring 2010. ([Chapter 20](#))

Constituent assembly

Scheduled to start in February 2010. ([Chapter 21](#))

The volcanic eruption

The eruption has come to an end. ([Chapter 22](#))

9 Political environment

In September 2010 a few changes in the government were announced. The same parties are in power but the changes concerned changes of two ministers and the merger of two ministries. Social Democrat Guðbjartur Hannesson is now the Minister of Social Welfare - a new ministry created to combine the Ministry of Health and the Ministry of Social Affairs. The previous Minister of Health, Alfheidur Ingadóttir, left the government altogether, while the previous Minister of Social Affairs, Arni Pall Arnason, was appointed the new Minister of Economics and Business, replacing the out-going Gylfi Magnússon. See [here](#).

In late August and September 2010 the Minister of finance, Mr. Sigfússon, wrote a six letter article series named *"Rising from the Ruins on the causes and consequences of the banks' collapse"*. The articles can be found [here](#).

On March 21st two members of the Left green party left the coalition and became independent. See [here](#).

On April 13th a voting took place on a vote of no-confidence submitted by the Independence party. The vote was not successful and the government therefore still holds a majority in Alþingi.

Following the election one MP from the ranks of the Left-Green Movement, which voted against the coalition by backing the vote of no confidence, will leave the Left-Green parliamentary group. See [here](#).

After the changes on March 21st and April 14th the majority of the Social democrats and the Left green party is now 32 MP's versus 28 MP's of the opposition and 3 independents.

9.1 Long term economic plan introduced - Iceland 2020

On January 7th 2011 the Prime minister's office introduced governmental policy statement for the economy and community called Iceland 2020 – Knowledge, sustainability, welfare. Iceland 2020 is a policy statement for an efficient economy and society. It includes plans for investments in human resources and the necessary infrastructures for the economy, as well as policies on how to strengthen education and culture, innovation and development, the environment and social infrastructure. See [here](#).

10 Bank Reconstruction Concluded

Considerably lower State contributions than anticipated

Figure 27 *The Icelandic Financial Supervisory Authority (FME)*



Since the Icelandic FSA took over the operations of the banks in October 2008 they have been appointed Special Resolution Committees and new banks have been established around the old banks domestic operations, in accordance with the FSA's decision on the disposal of assets and liabilities between the old and new banks. The Government also appointed boards to govern the new state owned banks.

The decision of the FSA on the disposal of assets and liabilities can be found [here](#). Information about the resolution committees can be found [here](#) and various FAQ can be found [here](#).

In April 2009 Deloitte delivered its valuation of the assets that were moved from the old banks to the new banks and Oliver Wyman consulting firm also issued its independent assessment report, see [here](#). The Government also engaged with the corporate advisory firm Hawkpoint to negotiate with creditors of the old banks the appropriate compensation for assets transferred and also to advice on the capitalization and the imbalance issues.

On 20th of July 2009 the Government, the boards of the new banks and the resolution committees of the three old banks announced that an agreement had been made on the initial capitalization of the new banks and the basis for the compensation payable to the creditors of the old banks for the transfer of assets into the new banks.

The Government provided both the Resolution Committee of Glitnir and Kaupthing with options to acquire the majority of the Government's shares in the new banks. The announcement can be found [here](#). On 15th October of 2009 the Resolution Committee of Glitnir, on behalf of its creditors, decided to utilize that option and acquired 95% of the share capital in Landsbanki. The Government will continue to own 5%. See [here](#).

The resolution committee of Glitnir expects to finalize the sale of Landsbanki before 2015. See [here](#) (Icelandic).

Similarly, on December 1st 2009, the Resolution Committee of Kaupthing Bank decided to utilize the option to acquire 87% of common equity in Arion Bank. The Government will continue to own 13%. See [here](#). Information on Kaupthing Resolution committee can be found [here](#).

On December 16th 2009 the Ministry of Finance and the Resolution Committee of Landsbanki Íslands hf announced that a final agreement had been reached on the settlement of assets and liabilities following the division of Landsbanki Íslands into old and new bank (NBI).

The government acquired 81% of the share capital in Landsbanki (NBI hf, the new bank) and the Resolution Committee of Landsbanki, on behalf of creditors, will control 19% of NBI. The Icelandic Government's equity contribution will be ISK 122 billion, 5 billion lower than previously estimated. See [here](#).

A good overview of the reforms in the Icelandic financial sector from October 2008 until April 2010 can be found [here](#).

The Minister of economic affairs announced in early 2011 that he will propose a bill changing the law regarding the resolution committees and winding up boards, e.g. on their duties and responsibilities regarding creditors.

Information on the Resolution committee's and creditors reports can be found on the following websites:

- [Landsbanki](#)
- [Kaupthing](#)
- [Glitnir](#)

The business plan of Glitnir can be found [here](#).

10.1 Overview of the restructured banks

Following the restructuring of all three commercial banks the Ministry of Finance issued a press release outlining the contribution of the state in the bank restructuring. See [here](#)

The cost of capitalizing the new banks, ISK 135 billion, is significantly lower than the

Figure 28 State contributions to the restructuring of the Icelandic banks


Bank	Total equity (ISK bn)	State's equity (ISK bn)	State's holding (%)	Subordinated loans from the state (ISK bn)	Total state financing (ISK bn)
Arion banki	72	9,36	13	24	33,36
Íslandsbanki	65	3,25	5	25	28,25
Landsbanki	150	122	81	0	122
Total	287	134,6	-	49	183,6

estimated commitment of ISK 385 billion at the time of the original transfer in October 2008. An overview of the state's contribution can be found in the Figure above.

The agreement reached between the Icelandic authorities and the new banks, on the one hand, and the Resolution Committees of all three Banks on behalf of their creditor on the other hand concerns the settlement of assets which were transferred from the old banks to the new ones established in October 2008.

In January 2010 the FSA authorized Kaupskil ehf., on behalf of the creditors of Kaupthing, to acquire a qualifying holding in Arion Bank hf. Similarly the FSA authorized ISB Holding ehf. on behalf of the creditors of Glitnir, to own a qualifying holding in Íslandsbanki See [here](#) and [here](#).

On November 22nd and 23rd 2010 Kaupthing and Glitnir bank entered winding-up procedure. Icelandic law provides for automatic end of the moratorium period upon entering into winding-up procedure. The ruling involves no changes to the bank's day-to-day activities or its legal status. See [here](#) and [here](#).

Figure 29 A diagram of the setup and ownership of the old and new banks

10.2 Icelandic Banking Agency

The Government has furthermore set up a Banking Agency, [Icelandic State Financial Investments](#) (ISFI) which is intended to control the state's holding in financial undertakings in compliance with currently applicable law, good administrative and business practices, and the state's current ownership policy, and provide them with funding on behalf of the Treasury based on budget allocations. ISFI is expected to conclude its operations no later than 5 years after its establishment. Further information on the ownership policy can be found [here](#).

Further information and updates can be found on the ISFI website, see [here](#).

In June 2010 a report on the activities of the ISFI was published. See [here](#) (pdf).

ISFI is set up in accordance with an expert report from the Coordination Committee led by Mr. Mats Josefsson, see [here](#) for further information. The Committee had the overall responsibility of developing, implementing and communicating a comprehensive strategy for bank restructuring. Another expert report, by Mr. Kaarlo Jännäri, on Banking Regulation and Supervision in Iceland was published in March 2009, see [here](#).

Figure 30 *The Icelandic State's banking agency, which holds its financial assets*



10.3 Restructuring of the savings banks

After a long restructuring process the Icelandic authorities have took over the operations Byr savings bank and Keflavik Savings Bank (Spkef) on April 23rd 2010. Both are very small institutions compared to the old Icelandic banks. See [here](#) and [here](#).

An agreement was signed on October 14th 2010 between the winding-up committee of Byr Savings Bank the Government of Iceland, and Byr hf. regarding the settlement and ownership of Byr hf. The Government will own 100% of Byr hf. The agreement will minimize cost for the Government.

See [here](#) (english) or [here](#) (Icelandic).

On June 22nd 2010 EFTA Surveillance Authority approved for a period of six months a state aid scheme for small savings banks in Iceland. The Authority considers the measures are taken by the Government are appropriate as they meet their objective to ensure that all five savings banks will comply with the regulatory capital ratio requirements. See [here](#).

There is also a discussion on the recapitalization of the savings banks in the IMF status report see [here](#) (pdf).

On March 5th 2011 the Ministry of Finance and Landsbanki signed an agreement on the merger of Landsbanki and Spkef. A few branches will be merged where both Landsbanki and Spkef operate. This solution is thought to minimize the cost for the government. See [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#).

The restructuring of a number of savings banks has been ongoing. After the merger of Landsbanki and SP kef the restructuring of all the bigger savings banks are in place.

On April 13th 2011 the EFTA Surveillance Authority (ESA) approved temporarily state aid in support of the Icelandic bank Byr hf. See [here](#).

Figure 31 *The logo of Icesave in the UK*



11 The Icesave Dispute

One part of the collapse of the Icelandic banking sector was the insolvency of Landsbanki in December 2008. A part of the bank was an online savings bank, called Icesave, operating in the United Kingdom (UK) and the Netherlands (NL). See appendix 9 for a timeline of the dispute.

During the restructuring process of Iceland's financial system Landsbanki has been in moratorium and therefore creditors have not yet received reimbursements. Nevertheless, the governments of UK and the NL reimbursed depositors of Icesave in their respective country. See [here](#) and [here](#).

According to the European Economic Area legal framework the Depositors' and Investors' Guarantee Fund of Iceland (DIGF) is responsible for reimbursing the depositors up to certain amount, approximately 20.900 Euros. However legal doubt has remained about whether there was a state guarantee on the DIGF fund which only had sufficient funds to reimburse a small number of depositors. The reimbursement by the local governments was therefore thought of by the UK & NL Governments as an effectively a loan to the DIGF. The Icesave dispute has surrounded the terms of the loan, and what conditions should apply to a state guarantee of the loan, if it were to be given. See also information on the ESA ruling below.


11.1 The August 2009 Icesave Act

On August 28th 2009 the Icelandic parliament passed an Act authorizing a state guarantee for the loans granted by the Governments of the UK and the NL to the Depositors' and Investors' Guarantee Fund of Iceland. The law was signed with pre-conditions. The pre-conditions stipulated certain economic conditions for the repayments of the loans as well as legal rights regarding natural resources. A proposal of the preconditions can be found [here](#). The president of Iceland signed the Act on September 2nd 2009. His statement can be found [here](#).

11.2 The December 2009 Act

In light of the pre-conditions the Icelandic Government had to re-negotiate with the Governments of the UK and the NL. The re-negotiations process was concluded on 18th of October 2009 with the Governments of the UK and the NL accepting some of the conditions set by the Parliament. Further information can be found [here](#). Since the agreement was not identical to the law passed in August 2009 a new law needed to be ratified as a successor to the earlier law. Many Icelanders felt that the key pre-

Figure 32 A timeline of the Icesave dispute



conditions set forward in the Act that was passed in August had been removed and put tremendous pressure on the Icelandic parliament not to pass the bill. After several weeks of discussions in the Icelandic Parliament a new law was passed on December 30th 2009 with a majority of 33 votes against 30. Further information can be found [here](#).

11.3 The December act was not confirmed by the President

According to the Icelandic constitution the President needs to sign every law that is passed. If the President does not sign a law it must go under a national referendum as soon as possible. The President does not have the power to veto or block the law from going into force.

Over 60 thousand Icelanders, about a quarter of the electorate, signed a petition urging the president of Iceland not to sign the law that was passed on December 30th 2009 and calling for the Act to be subjected to a referendum. Further information can be found [here](#) (site only available in Icelandic).

On January 5th 2010 the President of Iceland denied to sign the law passed on December 30th. According to the constitution the law remains in force but is put under a national referendum as soon as possible. The referendum was held on 6th March 2010. Further information on the President's decision can be found [here](#).

Jon Danielsson, professor at London School of Economics, wrote an article about the dispute in January 2010. See [here](#).


11.4 A Negotiation Committee Formed

In February 2010 a negotiation committee representing the Icelandic government was formed to meet with representatives of the UK and NL governments. Heading the negotiation committee was US attorney Lee C. Buchheit. Several meetings took place before the national referendum but talks were abandoned shortly before the national referendum. See [here](#). For information on Lee C. Buchheit, see [here](#). For more information on the negotiation committee see [here](#).

For information on Lee C. Buchheit, see [here](#). For more information on the negotiation committee see [here](#).

11.5 First Icesave referendum

Figure 33 Results of the first Icesave referendum



March 6th 2010

The first national referendum in Iceland since the foundation of the Republic was held on March 6th 2010. The referendum, Icesave referendum, was on whether an amendment to the so-called December Icesave Act should stand or be repealed. The result was decisive and only around 1.5% voted in favor of the new amended act. The result is in a sense biased due to the fact that in the weeks leading up to the election it had been known that a better deal was available to the Icelandic authorities than the one that was voted on in March 2010. In light of the result the December Icesave Act was repealed and the Act passed on August 28th 2009 continued to be in force. For more information on the referendum and related information see [here](#) and [here](#).

The dispute received a great deal of attention leading up to the vote and an increasing number of articles were written in Iceland's favor.

11.6 An agreement reached

Considerably more favorable terms

Both informal and formal talks between the negotiations committees of Iceland, Britain and the Netherlands continued in the summer and fall of 2010. See [here](#).

In early December 2010 an agreement was reached between the negotiations committees of Iceland, Britain and the Netherlands. On December 8th 2010 the negotiation committee of Iceland's introduced its summary on the outcome of the recent discussions on Icesave between the relevant parties. See [here](#).

On Iceland's behalf the draft agreements were endorsed by all five of its negotiators, together with the Chairman of the Board of the Depositors' and Investors' Guarantee Fund (TIF). Final signing and acceptance of obligation on Iceland's part needs to be granted by Parliament (Althingi) and then the President.

According to an estimate by the negotiation committee the cost to be borne by the Treasury would be around ISK 32 billion, or just over 2% of GDP. Payments should be completed in 2016. In the agreement there are several macroeconomic provisions that are aimed at limiting the potential cost for the Treasury. See [here](#).

It was estimated that the agreement from 2009 would cost the Treasury around ISK 370 billion.

A more favorable agreement is not the sole reason for the lower state contribution. It also due to a better recovery of the assets of the resolution committee which now believes that around 90% of the claims related to Icesave can be retrieved. On March 2nd 2011 the resolution committee of Landsbanki announced that considerable success had been made in increasing reclaims of the asset portfolio of Landsbanki Islands hf. during the last two quarters of 2010. See [here](#) (3Q) and [here](#) (Q4).

See [here](#) the original press release from December 2010 and [here](#) a news report without the adjustment for better recovery of assets. Also, see [here](#).

On February 16th 2011 the Icelandic Parliament, Althingi, passed a bill from the Minister

of Finance that confirms the new agreement reached between Iceland, UK and The Netherlands on the Icesave issue. The result was that a strong majority, or more than 2 /3 of parliamentarians, approved the new agreement. A total of 44 MP's voted in favor of the agreement, 16 MP's were not in favor and 3 MP's abstained. See [here](#). Information on the agreement from December 2010 can be found [here](#) and [here](#).

11.7 A second Icesave referendum - April 2011

On February 20th 2011 the President of Iceland refused to sign the law and decided to refer the bill to a referendum. The President supported his decision by pointing out that there had been substantial support in Althingi in favor of another referendum (30 MP's in favor / 33 opposed). Additionally, he pointed out that more than 40,000 voters, about one fifth of the electorate, had formally requested that a referendum be held on the new bill. The referendum took place on April 9th 2011. See [here](#) and [here](#). An informational website on the Icesave dispute and the second referendum, from the University of Iceland, can be found [here](#).

The turnout of the referendum was high, around 75% turnout, and close to 40% voted for and 60% voted against the law. The Act was therefore rejected by the majority of the voters and is repealed.

The Government of Iceland has stated that it will do all in its power to secure that the referendum outcome will not have a major impact on Iceland's economic program and the fiscal consolidation plan which it has been pursuing with a high degree of success. See [here](#). See an article from the Wall street journal about the referendum [here](#).

The prime minister of Iceland wrote an article about the election results in the Guardian on April 13th:

"Second, the government of Iceland has always said it would honor its international obligations, but there is a great deal of uncertainty as to what these "international obligations" entail – opinion on and interpretation of the European directive in question is highly disputable. Therein lies the problem. The referendum outcome means that the Icelandic people will not accept a requirement to cover costs related to deposit insurance guarantees, unless the legal obligation for doing so is clear and unequivocal." See full article [here](#).

A collection of links from foreign media in light of the referendum results can be found [here](#).

UK and Netherland will recoup most of their funds

Regardless of the outcome of the election the UK and the NL will recoup most of their financial outlays due to Icesave. The President underlined that fact in a statement following the election result;


"Although a majority of the electorate has in this referendum said 'no' with respect to the conclusions of the negotiations which took place last year, it is necessary to emphasize that the nature of the Icesave issue is such that the British and Dutch authorities and agencies will still, notwithstanding this result, receive immense sums from the estate of Landsbanki. In all likelihood, the amounts paid to them will come to the equivalent of USD 7-9 billion, the first

Figure 35 Mr. Olafur Ragnar Grimsson, the President of Iceland, at a World Economic Forum meeting in 2010



Source: World Economic Forum on Flickr

Figure 34 Results of the second Icesave referendum



payment taking place within a few months. It is therefore not correct to maintain that the United Kingdom and the Netherlands will not receive any payments. The Icesave dispute has centered on interest payments and the interpretation of the European Union's regulations." See full statement [here](#).

A few legal issues

One of the legal issues regarding the Icesave dispute is the implementation of a bank-funded deposit insurance scheme, in line with the EEA Treaty. Some believe that it was not correctly implemented but others say it was correctly implemented according to relevant EU directives before the collapse of the Icelandic banks. To support view of correct implementation it has been pointed out that the EFTA Surveillance Authority (ESA) did not, in all the years the Icelandic scheme was up and running, comment on its structure.

The EU prescribed deposit insurance scheme is without any explicit or implicit sovereign guarantee. Some believe that the demands of the Dutch and British authorities that Iceland guarantee repayment of funds that were dispensed by UK and NL public coffers to Icesave depositors resident in these countries, are therefore without legal merit in Icelandic or European law.

It must also be noted that under the emergency law of October 2008, the UK and the Netherlands will receive an estimated 90 percent of their outlays from the Landsbanki estate, including the full amount of the EU-prescribed minimum deposit insurance and a substantial fraction of additional sums paid to depositors. That amount is considerably larger than if the emergency act would not have been put in place. See [here](#).

The reimbursement being claimed by the UK and Netherlands Governments amounts to 1.320 billion ISK. The failed Landsbanki's assets will cover around 90% of the sum, 1.175 bn ISK, according to conservative estimates by the bank's Resolution Committee. At year-end 2010 the assets stood at 361 bn ISK. This year it is expected that 94 billion ISK will be recovered, 149 billion ISK next year and 54 billionn ISK in 2013. See [Chapter 11](#) for more information.

The sale of Iceland Foods could eliminate the remaining debt

News reports just before the referendum suggested that the sale of the supermarket chain Iceland Foods in the UK, which is one of the larger assets of the resolution committee, could wipe out the remaining Icesave debt. The resolution committee of Landsbanki is trying to obtain GBP 1.8-2.0 billion (ISK 333-370 billion, USD 3.0-3.3 billion, EUR 2.1-2.3 billion) for Iceland Food.

If GBP 2 billion is obtained for Iceland Foods, as speculated by The Financial Times, Landsbanki's debt to the Icesave depositors in the UK and the Netherlands could be paid up in its entirety and the Icelandic state wouldn't have to contribute a penny. See [here](#) and [here](#).

Why no?

The outcome of the referendum can however only be interpreted to the effect that the Icelandic people will not accept a deal requiring Iceland to cover costs related to the Icesave deposit insurance guarantees, unless the legal obligation for doing so is clear. The Icelandic Government has sought to resolve the Icesave dispute all along in good faith and through negotiations with the British and the Dutch Governments.

"With the so called Emergency Law, implemented in October 2008 as the three major Icelandic

banks ran out of liquidity, claims of depositors were given priority to the assets of the banks. As a result of this all depositors in Icelandic banks in other countries, apart from those who had deposits in the Icesave accounts, got their money back. This also means that the claims of the UK and the Netherlands have first priority definition when it comes to the assets of Landsbanki and will get most, if not all of the money they paid to depositors in their respective countries repaid. The Icesave dispute is about what potentially will thus not be recouped and about interests.” See [here](#).

On April 11th the president of Iceland, Mr. Olafur Ragnar Grimsson, was in a phone interview with Bloomberg after the results of the Icesave referendum. In the interview he criticized Moody’s and answered claims from UK’s Chief Secretary to the Treasury, Danny Alexander. See [here](#). See the comments by Mr. Alexander [here](#).

Peter Osborne the chief political commentator of the Daily Telegraph’s also criticized Mr. Alexander for bullying Iceland. See [here](#). See also [here](#) for more information.

Dutch Finance minister Jan Kees de Jager said in an interview with the BBC that he would be consulting Britain about taking further steps against Iceland, but added that the matter would likely end up in court. See [here](#).

Professor Michael Hudson wrote an article in favor of an Icelandic “no vote”. See [here](#). After the second referendum Professor Hudson wrote another article about why Iceland voted no. See [here](#).

Exchange risk

Gamma is a Icelandic asset management company that published an detailed opinion statement about the risks involved in the Icesave deal. According to their estimate the cost of the Icesave deal could range from a few billion ISK to over 200 billion ISK. The estimate of the negotiation committee was around 32 billion ISK. The considerable risk is related to the fact that current weakening could increase the debt considerably. See the opinion statement in Icelandic [here](#) (only available in Icelandic).

Frosti Sigurjonsson said, CEO of Dophop and a member of the Advice group: *“The risk of accepting the current Icesave agreement [which involves an exposure to currency market movements and bankruptcy recoveries] is much greater than taking this matter to court, which is our civil right.”* See [here](#).

Financial Times support Iceland’s point of view

After the first Icesave vote, in January 2010, Martin Wolf the chief economics commentator of the Financial Times noted a few arguments for why Iceland should say no to the Icesave agreement. They are:

- There is no legal obligation for Iceland to meet undertake these payments.
- The British government decided to bail out British depositors of its own accord
- Iceland was pressed to participate in the bailout of British and Dutch depositors
- People who were not fully responsible should not pay
- The amount is a few billion pounds, an error term in UK’s deficits and debt, not a big issue for the UK
- People in the UK are beginning to feel ashamed that their government

Figure 36 Martin Wolf, Chief Economics Commentator of the Financial Times



From Horasis Global China Business Meeting in 2007.
Source: User Horasis on Flickr

has forced Iceland to accept such a burden

- The people of Iceland have been more effective than the government in making Iceland's case abroad
- Governments generally don't meet obligation they cannot afford
- Many countries have defaulted on their debts, the UK defaulted on its post-war debt through inflation

See here for the main points of the interview [here](#). See [here](#) and [here](#) for a video of the interview. See an article by Mr. Wolf [here](#).

An editorial in the Financial Times from December 2010 was also in favor of Icelandic "no vote":

"The Netherlands and the UK will keep Iceland's taxpayers hostage until they recover their outlays in full. [...] This is a pity. It encourages the current fad for furnishing banks with unlimited sovereign guarantees. In this case the need for guarantees can barely be argued on legal grounds, and not at all on the grounds of fairness: the UK or Dutch governments would never honor foreign depositors' claims to a third of yearly national output should one of their big banks fail". See [here](#).

An article from February 2011 in the Financial Times can be found [here](#). A collection of links to articles written in Iceland's favor can be found [here](#).

„The tiny island nation shouldn't have to bear the costs of the Dutch and British bailouts.“ Wall street journal, see [here](#).

Peter Örebech a professor at the University of Tromsø submitted an opinion statement on the Icesave act in January 2011. In his opinion statement he argues that the ESA's opinion, which Iceland now has to answer, is incorrect. See [here](#). More information about the arguments why Iceland rejected the agreement can be found [here](#).

What will follow? - Icelandic authorities will honor their legal obligations

Since the December 2009 and the January 2011 Icesave Act's were repealed the dispute will go to the EFTA Court. The first step in that process is to answer a letter from the EFTA Surveillance Authority (ESA) from May 2010. On April 11th, two days after the second Icesave referendum, the EFTA Surveillance Authority (ESA) issued a press release stating that a final warning had been given and an answer is expected within two months. The statement says that, *"This final warning will give Iceland two months to rectify their breach of the EEA Agreement. If Iceland continues to be in breach of the agreement, the case will be sent to the EFTA Court."* See [here](#). It is expected that the process for the EFTA Court will take between 1 and 2 years.

The rules and procedure of the ESA court can be found [here](#). Note despite the fact that both the December 2009 and the January 2011 Icesave Act's were repealed the August 2009 Act is and will continue to be in force.

A fact sheets and key figures on the Icesave issue can be found in English [here](#) (pdf). An fact sheet on the Icesave issue can be found in English [here](#) (pdf) and in Norwegian [here](#).

Impact on credit ratings

On April 11th 2011, Fitch Ratings published a memo on the impact of the Icesave referendum result on the country's credit ratings. At this time the credit rating of Iceland remained unchanged but prospects of Iceland regaining an investment grade

has diminished in the relatively near future. Fitch also notes that the immediate impact on Iceland's IMF program is likely to be limited: the program remains on track and encouraging progress has been made with fiscal consolidation.

However, the fifth review of the IMF program may be delayed, pending a reassessment of the underlying macroeconomic assumptions following the Icesave decision and its likely impact on investment and growth. See [here](#).

Moody's stated in early February 2011 that the Credit rating of Iceland might be downgraded in the event of a "no vote" in the Icesave referendum. In a memo from February 23rd 2011 Moody's stated that; *"In the event that the agreement is approved by Iceland's electorate, we would likely change the outlook on the government's current Baa3 ratings to stable from negative. If the agreement is rejected, we would likely downgrade Iceland's ratings to Ba1 or below, given the negative repercussions that would follow for the country's economic and financial normalisation."* See [here](#).

However, Governor of the Central bank and Ministers from the Government have been in contact with Moody's and other rating agencies after the referendum in an effort to inform them on the impact of Icesave on the economic climate. Due to that effort Moody's decided to postpone a decision on changing the Credit rating until the week starting on April 18th 2011. When the decision is available it will be published [here](#).

Following the results of the Icesave referendum Standard & Poor's placed Iceland on CreditWatch status with negative implications. Iceland's current sovereign credit ratings from S&P are BBB- for long-term obligations in foreign currency and BBB for long-term obligations in local currency. The short-term ratings for obligations in foreign and local currency are A-3. The outlook for all of the ratings is negative. See [here](#) and [here](#).


ESA ruling

The EFTA surveillance authority (ESA) released on May 26th 2010 a formal notice which states the authority's opinion that under EU directive 94/19/EB the Icelandic government had an obligation to implement a system of deposit insurance in order to ensure that each bank depositor was guaranteed a minimum compensation of EUR 20.000. The main arguments of ESA in favor of this opinion are that the EU directive implies an obligation by Icelandic authorities to ensure that implementation of the directive guarantees that each depositor is compensated in accordance with the directive. For more information see [here](#) and [here](#).

On April 11th 2011, two days after the second Icesave referendum, the EFTA Surveillance Authority (ESA) issued a press release stating that a final warning had been given and an answer is expected within two months. The statement says that, *"This final warning will give Iceland two months to rectify their breach of the EEA Agreement. If Iceland continues to be in breach of the agreement, the case will be sent to the EFTA Court."* The government will answer the ESA letter of formal notice in the next couple of months. See [here](#).

The rules and procedure of the ESA court can be found [here](#).

Figure 37 *A timeline of the IMF Iceland stabilization program*



12 The IMF Program

12.1 The 1st review approved in October 2009

On 28th of October 2009 the IMF's Executive Board approved the 1st review of the Economic Recovery Program, giving Iceland access to \$167.4 million from the IMF and also to \$675 million from the Nordic countries and Poland, see [here](#). The Governments statement regarding the approval and the Letter of Intent can be found [here](#). In connection with the 1st review of the IMF program a staff report was published. See [here](#).

The 1st review of the Economic Recovery Program was delayed by several months because of the Parliamentary debate over the Icesave Act.

As a part of the 1st review of the IMF program the first tranche of Nordic loans was disbursed to Iceland on December 21st 2009. The first tranche amounted to 300 million euro's. See [here](#).

An overview of Iceland's Economic Recovery program supported by the IMF can be found [here](#).

Representatives from the IMF visited Iceland from December 1st and 14th 2009 to undertake discussions for the 2nd review under the IMF program. In a statement following the visit the IMF anticipated that by January all policy actions for the 2nd review would be in place provided that financing assurances could be secured from Iceland's Nordic counterparts. See [here](#).

Some uncertainty was on whether financing from the Nordic counterparts would be available until after the referendum on the first Icesave Act. Icelandic authorities have deliberated with their Nordic counterparts on the issue in an effort to resolve some of the uncertainty which had arisen. See [here](#).

12.2 The 2nd review approved in April 2010

On 16th of April 2010 the IMF's Executive Board approved the 2nd review of the Economic Recovery Program. Subsequently, USD 830 million in new funding from the IMF, the Nordic countries and Poland are available to strengthen the CBI's currency reserve. The IMF released USD 160 million, while Poland makes USD 73 million available under the agreement and the Nordic countries an additional USD 600 million. For more information about the second review of economic program by the board of the IMF see [here](#).

An IMF staff Report that was written leading up to the Second Review under the IMF program. See the full report [here](#).

In connection with the second review of Iceland's economic program in collaboration with the IMF the government has issued an updated Letter of Intent. See [here](#).

12.3 The 3rd review in September 2010

A mission from the International Monetary Fund (IMF), headed by Mark Flanagan, visited Reykjavik in June and July 2010 to hold discussions for the third review of the IMF program. See [here](#) and [here](#).

On 29th of September 2010 the IMF's Executive Board approved the 3rd review of the Economic Recovery Program. See [here](#) and [here](#).

Leading up to the 3rd review a new letter of intent was sent to the IMF explaining the current status of the economy in regards to the program. See [here](#).

The mission of the IMF also published a staff report following the 3rd review. See [here](#).

On October 4th 2010 a paper was also published by experts of the IMF on a few issues about overcoming constraints to growth, vulnerability of Iceland's external position and options on fiscal consolidations. See [here](#)

On October 5th 2010 Mark Flanagan, IMF former mission chief for Iceland, answered a few questions on the status in Iceland. In the interview he states that he expects a durable recovery to begin soon. He also answered some questions on Icesave, public debt and job creation in Iceland. See [here](#)

On November 4th 2010 Caroline Atkinson, Director of the External Relations Department, at the IMF answered a few questions on Iceland. See [here](#).

12.4 The 4th review concluded in January 2011

On January 11th 2011 the IMF approved the fourth review of the economic program with Iceland. See [here](#) and a staff report that was published following the approval [here](#).

In a preparation visit for the 5th review the IMF published a statement on February 11th 2011. The statement concludes that;

"The Icelandic economy is recovering. In 2011, Gross Domestic product (GDP) growth is set to turn positive for the first time since the crisis, though there is uncertainty surrounding the prospects for investment and private consumption. Inflation is projected to remain close to the central bank's target. Public and external debt remain on a downward path, supported by the steady implementation of fiscal consolidation measures and projected improvements in the balance of payments. Reducing Iceland's still-high unemployment remains a key challenge." See [here](#).


The 5th review is expected in late April 2011 but might be delayed due to the results of the Icesave referendum. The program is expected to conclude in the fall of 2011. See [here](#).

13 The Central bank and Monetary policy

The main policy rates of the Central bank of Iceland have been lowered by over 13 percentage points from January 2009 until late October 2010. After the last decision in March 2011 the main policy rates, on collateral loans and deposit rates, are at 4.25% and 3.25%. The next decision is scheduled on April 20th 2011.

13.1 Monetary policy from late 2008 until November 2010

Figure 38 *Central Bank of Iceland's Interest Rates*



In the sub-chapters below is a more detailed description of monetary policy of the Central bank following the collapse of the banking sector by period.

Early 2009 until early January 2010

Inflation did not come down as fast as expected in early 2009 due in part by the weakness of the exchange rate. Inflation was 18.6% at the beginning of 2009 but down to 7.5% by the end of the year. The MPC of the Central Bank kept the collateral loan and deposit rates constant, at 12% and 9.5%, from June until November 2009. The MPC lowered both the deposit and loan rates on two occasions in the fall of 2009 and early winter, first in November to 11% and 9% and then in

December to 10% and 8.5%. For more information on the November and December decision's see [here](#) and [here](#).

Some uncertainty regarding further monetary easing arose after the President declined to sign the Icesave Act and put the Act under a national referendum. However, the governor of the Central Bank, Mar Gudmundsson, stated in an interview on Bloomberg that there is still room for monetary easing despite the uncertainty following the decision. See [here](#) See also [Chapter 11](#) on the Icesave dispute. The rate has been lowered repeatedly since then. See below.

Progress from January 2010 until March 2011

In the first 11 months of 2010 the exchange rate has strengthened and inflation is down to 1.9% in February 2011. The main policy rates of the Central bank of Iceland have been lowered by over 13 percentage points from January 2009 until March 2011. The rate has been cut numerous times from January 2010 until March 2011. After the last decision in March the main policy rates, on collateral loans and deposit rates, are at 4.25% and 3.25%. For 2011, a 2.3 per cent inflation rate is forecasted and a steady policy rate by the Central Bank. See also [Chapter 17](#) on fiscal policy.

Data from November can be found [here](#). The statements of the MPC can be [here](#) and the minutes from the meetings can be found [here](#).

The next decision is scheduled on April 20th 2011. Up to date information on the decisions and links to relevant releases in relation to the decisions of the MPC can be found [here](#)

13.2 Review of the Monetary Policy Framework

A review of the monetary policy framework is underway within the Central Bank of Iceland. The review is written to outline the options available to Iceland going forward. CBI governor, Mar Gudmundsson has spoken about the review, first at a conference held by the Iceland Chamber of Commerce in October 2009 and then again in a speech at the annual meeting of the CBI in February 2010. He has outlined that in principal there are two main options, first, some type of a currency union and second what he has nicknamed, "inflation target plus" which would include considerable macro prudential measures to accompany the inflation target. For more information see [here](#) and [here](#)

Monetary policy after capital controls

On December 21st 2010 the Central bank of Iceland published a report on domestic monetary policy and submitted it to the Minister of Economic Affairs. The report summarizes the main viewpoints concerning Iceland's future exchange rate and monetary policy regime. The Icelandic version of the report, entitled "Monetary Policy after Capital Controls", can be found on the Central Bank of Iceland website, see [here](#). An English is available [here](#). A more comprehensive report is expected next winter.

On March 21st 2011, Mar Gudmundsson, the governor of the Central bank gave a speech on the lessons from the Icelandic financial crisis. See [here](#).

Figure 39 *The Central Bank of Iceland's coat of arms*



Image source: cb.is

13.3 Other matters relating to the Central bank

The 2010 Annual Meeting of the Central bank of Iceland was held in February. A speech by Central Bank Governor Mar Gudmundsson at the Bank's Annual Meeting 2010 can be found [here](#). Address by the Chairman of the Supervisory Board at the Central Bank's Annual Meeting 2010 can be found [here](#). The annual report can be found [here](#).

The 2011 annual meeting of the Central Bank was held on April 7th 2011 celebrating the 50 year anniversary of the bank. The annual report can be found [here](#) and other documents from the meeting can be found [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#).

The MPC's reports to Parliament

The amended Act from 2009 on the Central Bank of Iceland stipulates that the Bank's Monetary Policy Committee shall submit a report on its activities to Parliament twice a year and report discussed in official governmental committees. Three reports have been published in December 2009, September and December 2010. They can be found [here](#).

Bilateral currency swap agreement with China

On June 9th 2010 the People's Bank of China and the Central Bank of Iceland signed a bilateral currency swap agreement. The amount of the agreement is 3.5billion CNY/66billion ISK. The agreement has 3 year maturity and can be extended after both parties agree. See [here](#).

Multinational Agreement on Financial Stability

On August 17th 2010 a co-operation agreement was signed on cross-border financial stability, crisis management and resolution between the finance ministries and other relevant ministries, central banks and financial supervisory authorities of Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Iceland, Latvia, Lithuania, Norway and Sweden. The agreement enhances preparedness to handle cross-border financial stability concerns in the financially integrated Nordic-Baltic region. It also establishes the first European cross-border stability group. For more information see [here](#).

14 The Capital Restrictions and foreign exchange markets

After the banking collapse capital controls were introduced in the fall of 2008. On 5th of August 2009 the Central bank introduced a Capital Account Liberalization Strategy. The first step of the strategy was implemented on 1st of November 2009 allowing for foreign direct investments into Iceland. No specific timeline was set for further liberalization in the original plan. See more information on the original Liberalization strategy [here](#) and on the first step of the liberalization [here](#). An new plan on capital control was introduced in March 2011. See discussion below.

The surveillance on the foreign exchange rules was also been tightened in 2009. The Capital Controls Surveillance Unit within the Bank has been reorganized and fortified and the Central Bank's information gathering has been improved. In late October 2009 the rules on foreign exchange were tightened to hinder parties being able to exchange ISK abroad and import them at a more favorable exchange rate.

There has been a considerable difference between the off-shore and domestic exchange rate of the krona. Many have tried to gain from this arbitrage opportunity. The tighter regulation hinders bank deposits in ISK abroad being transported to an Icelandic bank. The ISK must be exchanged through the Central Bank. Consequently, the tighter regulation aided the MPC in lowering policy rates. See [Chapter 13](#). More information on this can be found [here](#).

14.1 Developments from October 2010 until March 2011

On October 19th 2010 the Governor of the Central bank said that the exchange rate stabilized in the second half of 2009 with no supporting intervention since early November 2009. In 2010 the exchange rate has appreciated by 13% in trade-weighted terms, again without any supporting intervention. This has alleviated pressures on impaired private sector balance sheets and contributed to relatively speedy disinflation. In the speech the governor also spoke about the three main prerequisites for taking the next steps in lifting capital controls. The speech is named "The Icelandic economy two years after the crash", see [here](#). See also a speech by the Deputy Governor of the Central bank from October 7th 2010 where he goes over the reasons behind the controls and the prospects for lifting them in the near future. See [here](#).

On November 3rd 2010 the Central bank issued a statement on the Capital controls. In the statement the main issues regarding the capital controls are summarized. See [here](#).

14.2 Liberalization plan introduced in March 2011

A special steering group, comprised of the Minister of Economic Affairs, the Minister

of Finance, the Governor of the Central Bank and the Director General of the Financial Supervisory Authority, has recently lead the formulation of a program on lifting capital controls. The steering group has collaborated closely with specialists in relevant ministries and institutions. On March 25th 2011 a report was introduced that contains a new strategy for liberalization of capital controls.

The core of the strategy is that offshore krónur will be gradually released before onshore krónur. In particular, authorisations to invest offshore krónur in the domestic economy will be expanded substantially, concurrent with measures to facilitate transactions between owners of offshore krónur wishing to sell and parties wishing to buy them for foreign currency that they own or can obtain. Emphasis is placed on executing the strategy in moderate steps that allow for adaptation in view of experience. The first phase will focus on steps that will not place strain on the foreign exchange reserves; i.e., auctions whose aim is to direct unstable króna holdings into the hands of long-term investors. See [here](#). The three main phases of the plan are:

- **Phase I** – reduction of offshore ISK position
- **Phase II** – removal of controls on onshore ISK
- **Phase III** – ways to reduce offshore ISK positions

14.3 Additional information

Up to date information on rules and guidelines surrounding foreign exchange, capital controls and the liberalization strategy can be found [here](#) and in appendix 3. Information on the CB exchange rate can be found [here](#) and the off-shore exchange rate can be found [here](#)

Figure 40 *The liberalization plan report, click to view (only in Icelandic)*




15 The European Union: Application in Process

The Icelandic Parliament voted on 16th of July 2009 in favor of applying for membership to the European Union (EU). Proposals from the Parliament's Foreign Affairs Committee regarding the application can be found here. General information and updates on the application process can be found [here](#).

Iceland's application was submitted to the Swedish Government, which held the EU presidency at the time, on 17th July and on July 27th 2009 the Foreign Ministers of the EU invited the Commission to formulate an opinion on Iceland's application, see here. A copy of the application can be found here. The Commission questionnaire was formally presented to the Icelandic Prime Minister Ms. Johanna Sigurdardóttir by Mr. Olli Rehn, the European Commissioner for Enlargement on 8th of September and the answers were submitted on 22th of October 2009. See the questionnaire and the answers [here](#).

Figure 41 *Iceland's application to the EU*



15.1 Chief negotiator appointed in November 2009

The Minister for Foreign Affairs, Mr. Ossur Skarphedinsson, appointed Ambassador Mr. Stefan Haukur Johannesson on November 2nd to serve as Iceland's Chief negotiator in the upcoming accession negotiations

with the European Union. Mr. Johannesson is an experienced international negotiator which has served as Iceland's Ambassador to the European Union in Brussels since 2005. See [here](#).

More information on Mr. Johannesson and other members of the negotiation committee can be found [here](#). An interview with Mr. Johannesson can be found [here](#).

15.2 European Commission Delegation Establishment

In November 2009 an agreement was signed on the establishment of a European Commission Delegation in Iceland. Information regarding new developments concerning Iceland can be found in the press corner of the European Commission Enlargement webpage. See [here](#) and [here](#).

15.3 Changes from January until May 2010

In January 2010 the Foreign Minister of Iceland met with Mr. Miguel Ángel Moratinos, who holds the Presidency of the European Union, regarding the impact of the Icesave debate on Iceland's application. Mr. Moratinos stated that the Spanish EU Presidency viewed the Icesave issue and Iceland's EU application as separate issues, and that the new situation that has arisen in Iceland would not have any impact on EU's treatment of the application. See [here](#).

Figure 42 *Johanna Sigurðardóttir, Prime Minister of Iceland, meets with Jose Manuel Barroso, President of the European Commission*



Image source: AFP

The Prime Minister of Iceland met with Mr. José Manuel Barroso, President of the European Commission and Mr. Olli Rehn, Commissioner for Enlargement and Commissioner Designate for Economic and Monetary Affairs in early February. At the meeting the Prime Minister gave a detailed account of the state of affairs in Iceland. For more information see [here](#).

On 24th of February 2010, the European Commissioner for Enlargement and European Neighborhood Policy recommended to the Council of the European Union to start accession negotiations with Iceland. See [here](#).

See also [here](#) for the key findings of the commission's opinion on Iceland.

While it was expected that Iceland would be considered for official candidate status at the EU summit in March, this was delayed to allow the German national parliament, which has the authority to debate important EU policy such as enlargement before action is taken by the government, to consider the matter. For more information on the Accession of Iceland to the European Union see [here](#). The German parliament voted on favor of Iceland's application on April 22nd.

15.4 Progress from June until March 2011 - Candidate status achieved

The European Council decided on June 17th 2010 to open negotiations with Iceland and Iceland was therefore given candidate status in the EU. See [here](#).

On July 27th 2010 Iceland's accession negotiations with the European Union were

Figure 43 *The logo of Iceland's application to the EU, from the Iceland Ministry of foreign Affairs. An associated informational website can be accessed by clicking the logo.*



formally opened at the first intergovernmental conference in Brussels. Icelandic Minister for Foreign Affairs, Ossur Skarphedinsson, participated on Iceland's behalf in the conference, hosted by the Belgian Presidency of the EU. See [here](#). See an interview with Iceland's chief negotiator [here](#).

Progress report and screening meetings

The European Commission issued a Progress Report on Iceland in early winter of 2010. The report assesses economic and political development in Iceland from February to October 2010, and recapitulates the issues that need to be addressed in the upcoming accession talks. The Progress Report's key findings are that Iceland meets all political and economic criteria for EU membership. See [here](#).

The European Union law is divided in 33 chapters, in addition to two chapters on Institutions and Other issues. Before formal negotiations on individual chapters begin, an analytical overview of the national legislation of the applicant state is performed, to evaluate its degree of harmonization with EU law and what needs to be negotiated upon. The comparison is referred to as screening meetings.

On November 15th 2010 the first screening meeting between Iceland and the EU over was concluded in Brussels. See [here](#) and [here](#). Several other screening meeting have been held in November and December 2010. See [here](#).

A timetable for the screening meetings can be found [here](#).

Pre-Accession Economic Program report

The Ministry for Economic Affairs has handed in its first Pre-Accession Economic Program to the EU Commission in January 2011. The report sets out Iceland's main economic priorities until 2013. The report is based on four main chapters. The first chapter sets out the main policy priorities. The second chapter explains the macroeconomic outlook underlying economic policy. The third chapter deals with government finances. It reflects the government's commitment to a primary surplus in 2011 and an overall surplus by 2013 as is presented in the government's medium-term fiscal program. The fourth chapter is on newly adopted and foreseen structural changes, with a special emphasis on private sector debt restructuring and strengthening of the financial sector. See [here](#).

On April 7th Stefan Füle, the European Commissioner for Enlargement, said in a statement, that the formal membership talks between Iceland and the European Union can begin at the end of June. See [here](#) and [here](#).

On April 11th Stefan Füle published a memo stating that the outcome of the second Icesave referendum would not impact the ongoing accession negotiations, to which the Commission remains fully committed. See [here](#).

Further information on the Iceland's application to the EU

The Ministry of Foreign affairs has dedicated a section on their website to Iceland's EU application process where up to date information and a timeline of events can be found. See [here](#) and a list of key documents can be found [here](#) and latest news [here](#).

Iceland's historic relations with the EU can be found [here](#).

Information on the Enlargement Strategy and Main Challenges of the EU for 2009-2010 can be found [here](#). Iceland's country profile at the European Commission can be found [here](#).

16 Supreme Court decision on the legality of loans indexed to foreign currency

On Wednesday June 16th 2010 the Supreme Court issued verdicts in two court cases pertaining to the question of whether it is legal to index car leasing payments in Krona (ISK) to changes in exchange rates of foreign currency. The Court found that it was illegal to index payments denominated in ISK in this manner. The court did not issue any guidelines on whether the interest rate on the loans in question should hold or not. Therefore, another case went to trial to determine what interest rate should apply.

16.1 Guidelines from the Central Bank

On June 30th 2010 the Financial Supervisory Authority and the Central Bank of Iceland have decided to issue guidelines to financial institutions due to non-binding clauses linking loans to the exchange rate. The basis of the guidelines is that loans shall be recalculated based on the lowest interest rate of the Central Bank at each point in time. The guidelines in their entirety can be found [here](#) and [here](#).

The guidelines are based on the above-specified supervisory bodies' considered opinion that there is no legal or substantive rationale for the maintenance of the previous interest rates (which were determined with reference to foreign interbank rates) after the portion of loan principal bearing such interest has been uncoupled from the exchange rate of the currency concerned by means of the recent Supreme Court decision.

Figure 44 *The Supreme Court of Iceland*



Image Source: Unknown

16.2 District and Supreme Court rulings

On July 23rd 2010 the district court ruled that the lowest non-indexed rate from the Central Bank should apply. The verdict was appealed to the Supreme Court, as was known prior to the verdict. The Supreme Court of Iceland pronounced on September 16th 2010 that interest should be calculated on unlawfully foreign-denominated automobile loans in accordance with the interest rates published by the Central Bank of Iceland.

Legislation Following the Ruling

In view of this outcome, the Ministry of Economic Affairs has introduced legislation aimed at ensuring a fair resolution for borrowers and taxpayers. The legislation ensures that the Supreme Court's conclusion will apply to all automobile loans and real estate mortgages which are linked to the exchange rates of foreign currencies. It is estimated that the banking sector will not need an equity injection if the due to this legislation. See [here](#). The law was passed on December 18th 2010. The law can be found [here](#) (only in Icelandic). The legislation does not cover corporate loans. But recent district and Supreme court rulings indicate that they will be treated similarly.

17 The State treasury

Overview of the fiscal consolidation plan


The fiscal consolidation plan by the government is one of the cornerstones of the IMF economic program. The 2011 National Budget is an important step in that consolidation, as it enables the Government to achieve a surplus on the primary balance in 2011, the first important goal in the plan. The second goal is to achieve a sizeable overall surplus by 2013.

On the basis of the plan, consolidation measures were adopted in the latter half of 2009, in response to a deteriorating outlook for Government operations. Thereafter, it was decided to take radical steps on both revenues and expenditures sides of the 2010 Budget. See discussion below.

The original consolidation plan, introduced in the summer of 2009, envisaged an improvement in the primary balance that would total over 16% of GDP during the period covered by the plan; that is, 2009 –2013. Obviously, such planning concerning future developments in public finances entails considerable uncertainty and must undergo regular review in light of circumstances, so as to reassess the need for policy action.

Current plans aim for a 12% improvement in the primary balance during the period under consideration. The main reason for this easing of the consolidation path is that economic developments in 2009 were more positive than initial previous forecasts had indicated. In the Ministry of Finance's macroeconomic forecast from May 2009, for example, it was estimated that GDP would contract by 10.6% in 2009, while figures from Statistics Iceland suggest that the contraction was in fact 6.8%. The need for consolidation is less acute primarily because economic developments are more favorable and public debt lower than original forecasts indicated. More information can be found [here](#).

Figure 45 Fiscal Balance of the Icelandic Treasury



17.1 Lower deficit than expected in both 2009 and 2010

On July 18th 2010 the Government accounts were published for 2009. The fiscal deficit in 2009 was 139 billion ISK or 9.3% of GDP which considerably lower than the originally estimated deficit of 10.4%. See [here](#) (only in Icelandic). According to the Budget

proposal for 2010 the deficit was expected to be 6.1%. The outlook has now improved mainly due to the Avens agreement. See [Chapter 17](#). The deficit is now expected to be 4.6%. See [here](#).

The latest Treasury finances and Budget Highlights for 2010 from the Ministry of Finance can be found [here](#) and [here](#).

17.2 Fiscal budget for 2011

It is projected that the overall fiscal deficit will be negative by 36 billion in 2011 or -2.1%. Consolidation measures to be adopted in 2011 according to the budget proposal amount to an estimated 44 bi.kr., with around $\frac{3}{4}$ of the measures affecting the expenditure side and $\frac{1}{4}$ the revenues side according to the Government. The medium-term fiscal plan entails that the overall balance will become slightly positive in 2012 and firmly positive in 2013 and 2014, or by nearly 50 bi.kr. excluding potential interest expense due to the Icesave obligations. The overall balance is expected to yield a surplus amounting to 2.5% of GDP in 2013 and 2014.

Overview of the fiscal budgets from 2008 to 2011

In 2008 the deficit was ISK 218 billion with the recapitalization of the Central Bank. See [here](#). Further information on the 2011 and earlier fiscal budgets can be found [here](#). There is also a discussion on the fiscal budget in the November Monetary Bulletin. See [here](#).

17.3 Medium-term Fiscal Plan - Through 2014

The medium-term plan envisages a considerable increase in revenues for the years 2012–2014, in which GDP growth will play a significant role. It is expected that the overall balance will be positive by 0.4% in 2012 and around 2.5% for both 2013 and 2014. It is also projected that, during the period, the Treasury's primary revenues as a share of GDP will rise by 3.3% over and above the estimate in the budget bill for 2011. It is estimated that primary expenditures will contract by 0.9% of GDP over the period 2011–2014. This is due primarily to a projected 40% reduction in unemployment-related expenses during the period, as well as the firm resolve that other expenditures will be tightly controlled. See [here](#) and [here](#).

On October 4th 2010 a paper was published by experts of the IMF on, among other issues, options on fiscal consolidations. See [here](#).

17.4 An example of a few Policy actions

The Government has put forward a series of policy actions initiative in response to the crisis. Some highlights of policy initiatives are listed below:

- Personal income tax rates were increased by 1.25% and a temporary 8% surcharge was introduced on high incomes at the beginning of 2009. The system was re-organized for 2010 and was replaced by a new three bracket progressive tax system that was put in place in the beginning of 2010.
- In the budget for 2010 and 2011 some measure were taken to reduce expenditure of the government leading to a layoff of around est. 1.000 public employees.
- Various benefits were decreased, such as maternity/paternity leave benefits, and income thresholds for others were lowered. Public investments will be reduced and further decreases in benefits, such as child support benefits, have been

introduced.

- Social security contribution, paid by Icelandic companies, was increased to a level that is expected to balance the unemployment fund's accounts.
- Both the capital tax and corporate income tax were raised from 10% and 15% first to 18% and in 2011 to 20%.
- A few other actions include: e.g. new energy, environmental and resource taxes increased capital and income taxes, as well as changes to commodity taxes and an expansion of the VAT base and reclassification of VAT levels.
- Various initiatives to guarantee partial payment relief for households have been adopted
- Major debt restructuring is ongoing for individuals and firms. Freezing of housing auctions has also been in place for several months.

A general overview of the economic recovery can be found [here](#) and [here](#).

An article written by the Prime Minister, at the end of 2009, stating some fiscal policy initiatives can be found [here](#).

18 Other initiatives, reports and measures

The Icelandic government is working along with the IMF to identify fiscal measures that need to be taken for the years 2011-13. The authorities' medium-term plan already identifies additional revenue options, but the authorities have also requested technical assistance from the Fund to facilitate continued work to improve the underlying structure of taxation. Further information can be found [here](#) in the IMF staff report.

Icelandic Pension Funds Association published a report in March 2010 on the responsibilities of the funds leading up to the crisis. The report entitled "Lessons that the pension funds can learn from the crash of 2008-2009" (is. Lærdómur lífeyrissjóðanna af hruninu 2008-2009) The report can be found [here](#) (only in Icelandic). A news article on the report in English can be found [here](#). For more information on the pension funds see [here](#).

In December 2009 the Nordic Economic Report 2009 was presented at the Nordic finance ministers meeting in Copenhagen. The Nordic Economic Report is a joint project by the Nordic countries and is published once each year. See the full report [here](#).

IMF Report on the tax system

The Icelandic government asked the IMF for advice on tax reform to increase equity and revenue productivity of the Icelandic tax system. In response a mission from the Fiscal Affairs Department of the International Monetary Fund visited Reykjavik in the spring of 2010. The report presents the missions findings and recommendations to achieve the goals that the Icelandic authorities wanted to achieve.

Note that these are recommendations based on the goals of the Icelandic authorities and therefore not recommendations of the IMF. The mission from IMF's Fiscal Affairs Department is not directly connected to the IMF program that Iceland is a part of.


The general conclusion of the report is; "The Icelandic tax system already embodies in many of its features the state of the art in tax Policy. It is reasonably simple with relatively

low rates, broad tax bases, and few special favorable treatments and opportunities for tax arbitrage or avoidance” and also „The recommendations that follow build on these strengths rather than taking the route of a radical departure from the current tax structure.” The report in whole can be found [here](#).

The Iceland Chamber of Commerce and Confederation of Employers published an extensive report on the tax system in September. See [here](#) (only in Icelandic).

19 The Labour market

Figure 46 *Unemployment in Iceland rose dramatically as a result of the financial crisis*



Source: Statistics Iceland

Near the end of June 2009 the Government, both central and local, and the Confederation of Employers and the Confederation of Employees signed an agreement, The Stability Pact. The Pact's aim is to secure broad social consensus for adjustment measures necessary to ensure economic recovery. Its aim is also to "create conditions for increased investment by both domestic and foreign parties, stronger growth, boosting employment and laying the foundation for improved living standards in the future."

The objective of the agreement was to deter a rise in inflation due to the interdependence of wage increases and inflation, i.e. hindering that a rise in wages due to collective wage agreements would lead to a rise in inflation. Additionally, the agreement

was signed in an effort to secure stability in the labor market and create a foundation for further growth.

The Pact stipulated e.g. that collective wage agreements shall remain valid until the end of November 2010; that the balance in the national budget were to be attained with a suitable mix of cuts in expenditure and higher taxes; that the Government would pave the way for major investment projects and seek co-operation with Icelandic pension funds to finance infrastructure projects. It also stipulated some monetary and economic conditions. See [here](#) and [here](#).

19.1 The stability pact

In the Stability Pact certain economic and monetary objectives needed to be met before November 1st 2009. Since it was foreseen that some conditions, e.g. monetary condition, would not be met the Government and the Confederation of Employers and the Confederation of Employees signed an extension to the agreement on 28th October 2009 that secures the Pact will be in force until the end of November 2010. In the agreement modest wage increases were implemented from November 1st 2009 but a considerable part of previously agreed wage increases were postponed until 1st July 2010.

Further information on the renewed Stability Pact can be found [here](#) and [here](#) (both sites in Icelandic).

19.2 Discussions ongoing in April 2011

According to local news reports preliminary discussions are underway regarding renewing the Stability Pact. Leaders of labor unions and employers are discussion among themselves as well with members whether is mutual ground for a renewed agreement on collective wages. According to Icelandic news reports discussions have progressed well and a final agreement could be reached in late April between the labor unions, federation of employers and the government.

20 Investigation commission report on the collapse of Icelandic banks

A Special Investigation Commission (SIC) of the Parliament was formed to investigate whether any public officials were responsible of misconduct. The report was published in April 2010 and is around 3.000 pages long. Following the release of the Special Investigation Commission report in April 2010 three congressmen, one of which a former minister took a leave of absence from the parliament and one has resigned. See also a list of weaknesses listed in the report in [Chapter 8](#). See also [here](#) and [here](#).

The Icelandic government welcomed the report published by the Special Investigation Commission (SIC) of the Parliament on the causes of the collapse of the Icelandic banking system. Consisting of independent experts, the Investigative Commission was established by the Icelandic parliament in December 2008. The report is the first comprehensive analysis of the causes of the collapse of the three largest Icelandic banks in the midst of the global financial crisis of October 2008.

20.1 Main findings

According to the report the main cause of the failure of the banks was the rapid growth of the banks and their size at the time of the collapse. The big three banks grew 20-fold in size in seven years.

The report also finds that Iceland's government, central bank governors and the head of the financial regulator authority in 2008 all showed negligence in breach of existing laws that allowed the collapse of Iceland's financial industry. Former Prime Minister Geir H. Haarde, former Finance Minister Arni M. Mathiesen, Jonas Fr. Jonsson, the former head of the Financial Supervisory Authority and David Oddsson, the former Governor of the central bank, all acted negligently according to the Committee.

In September 2010, Mr. Haarde, became the first Icelandic minister to be indicted for misconduct in office, and will stand trial before the Landsdómur, a special court for such cases. See [here](#) and [here](#).

Several criminal and white collar investigations are under way both in regular channels as well as the special prosecutor which was especially established following the collapse. The Special Prosecutor will investigate suspicions of criminal actions in the period preceding, in connection with or in the wake of the collapse of the Icelandic banks, whether this is connected to activities of financial undertakings, other legal entities or individuals, and as the case may be, follow up on these investigations by bringing charges in court against those concerned. More information can be found [here](#).

The government issued a press release following the release of the report welcoming

the report. "This important report will enable us to look forward by understanding what took place here in the months and years leading up to the banking collapse" said Prime Minister Johanna Sigurdardottir. The press release can be found [here](#).

News articles on the report can be found in the archive of the Iceland review website, see [here](#).

Note: Most articles relating to the report are dated on April 12th 2010, the day of the release, or in the couple of weeks following the release.

A presentation in English from the press conference following the release of the report can be found [here](#).

A video from the news conference following the release, in English, can be found on the following website, see [here](#).

The report can be found on the following site: <http://sic.althingi.is/>

A good overview of ongoing investigations and what measures have been taken can be found in [here](#). The overview lists the number of cases from the special prosecutor and as well as general information on the work by the SIC.

21 Constituent Assembly and National Gathering

After the collapse of the banking sector there was a large outcry for a revision of the constitution. On June 16th 2010 Althingi passed a new law, The Act on a Constitutional Assembly in which a consultative Constitutional Assembly is summoned for the purpose of reviewing the Constitution of the Republic from June 1944. See [here](#).

Figure 47 A National Gathering, June 2010



Image source: Stjórnlagabing on Flickr

21.1 National gathering

The Act on a Constitutional Assembly passed by Althingi on June 16th 2010 stated that a National Gathering of approximately one thousand people should be held in good time before elections to the Constitutional Assembly. Those thousand people should be selected by means of random sampling from the National Population Register, with due regard to a reasonable distribution of participants across the country and an equal division between genders, to the extent possible.

The purpose of the National Gathering shall be to endeavor to; call for the principal viewpoints and points of emphasis of the public concerning the organization of the country's government and its constitution; the Constitutional Committee shall process the information

collected at the National Gathering and deliver to the Constitutional Assembly when it convenes. See [here](#).

Around thousand participants attended the national gathering; the oldest participant of the meeting is 89 years old and the youngest 18.

The results were divided into eight separate themes:

1. Justice, wellbeing and equality, morality
2. Human rights
3. The nature of Iceland- conservation and utilization
4. Democracy
5. Peace and international cooperation
6. Country and nation
7. Morality
8. Division of power, responsibility and transparency

For more information see [here](#) and [here](#).

21.2 Constituent assembly

To select candidates for the Constitutional Assembly an election was held on November 27th 2010. Over 500 candidates were in the election. The Assembly shall be composed of a minimum of 25 and a maximum of 31 delegates and elected by direct personal election. The turnout in the election was rather poor, around 37%. The main purpose Assembly is to prepare a proposal for a revised Constitution of the Republic.

For more information, see [here](#), [here](#), [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#). The results of the election can be found [here](#).

Election found to be invalid

On January 25th the Supreme Court of Iceland announced that the Constitutional Assembly election in Iceland in November 2010 had been invalid due to deficiencies in its execution. See [here](#). All the deficiencies were deemed to be rather technical and it was not proven that the deficiencies changed the results in any way. See [here](#) to read about the deficiencies.

21.3 Constitutional Council founded

On March 24th Althingi approved a proposal to appoint the 25 individuals that were elected to the Constitutional Assembly to serve in a Constitutional Council that will be given the identical assignment. The decision to appoint the constitutional council was taken in light of the verdict of the Supreme Court of Iceland to invalidate the election. The council is scheduled to conclude in June 2011. When the council has passed the constitutional bill, it shall be sent to the Althingi for process.

See [here](#) to read about the proposal. See [here](#) for further information about the council.

Constitutional Council set

On April 6th the first formal meeting of the Constitutional Council took place. Mrs. Salvör Nordal was elected council president. See [here](#). The council is scheduled to be in session from April 2011 and conclude in June 2011.

Figure 48 *A meeting of the Constitutional Council*



Image source: Stjórnlagagæping on Flickr

22 The Eruption comes to an end

No activity since late May 2010

An eruption started in Eyjafjallajökull in the southern part of Iceland on March 20th 2010 and came to a halt around May 20th 2010. There has been no volcanic activity since then early June and the alert level has been lowered as a result. See [here](#). For most up to date information see [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#)

For traveling instructions information and other useful information see the Visit Iceland webpage [here](#).

In light of the eruption the government and travel corporations started a marketing campaign called Inspired by Iceland. For more information see [here](#). A few excellent images from the eruption can be found [here](#).

Despite the short term negative impact of the eruption in Eyjafjallajökull in 2010 on tourism it is expected that a record number of tourists will come to Iceland in 2011.

23 Iceland's Future is Bright

Despite the current economic setbacks, Iceland's future is bright. Iceland is a dynamic, technology-driven society with a young and well educated workforce. The country is endowed with abundant natural resources, which include rich fishing grounds, vast renewable energy sources (of which only a third has been harnessed), a plentiful supply of clean water and a natural environment and culture that draw an increasing number of tourists to the country each year.

In February the Iceland Chamber of commerce released a report called the Seizing opportunities - the role of business and industry focusing on the vast opportunities that can be found in Iceland. See [here](#) (only in Icelandic).

Other major strengths of the economy include diverse export industries, a flexible labor market, a strong fiscal position, an efficient pension system and an excellent education system.

Armed with these strengths, Iceland's future is bright and prosperous.



About the Iceland Chamber of Commerce

The Iceland Chamber of Commerce (ICoC) is an overall organization of corporations, firms and individuals in Icelandic business. Anyone doing business, whether it is on a small or on a large scale, can be a part of the Chamber. The ICoC is the private sector's platform to achieve any kind of progress towards a better business environment and increased economic prosperity.



Operations of the Chamber

General safeguarding of interests

As a general organization of the business community the Chamber works integral for the interests of every one conducting business. The Chamber a powerful tool for the business community in its contest for improvements in the business environment and enhanced working conditions.

An advocate towards the authorities

The Chamber exerts itself for positive changes in law, regulation and administrative decisions which regard the business community. The Chamber receives from parliamentary committees, for review, all bills that bear upon the interests of the business community. Comments are made in collaboration with members and are presented to the committees.

The Chambers Annual Business Forum

The Chamber's annual Business Forum is the largest and most attended event in the Icelandic business community. The Forum is attended by members, politicians and governmental officials and others that take an interest in Iceland's business community. The Chamber issues a report in connection with the Forum. The latest publications can be found [here](#).

Corporate governance

The Chamber has taken on the initiative of publishing guidelines on corporate governance in collaboration with the Confederation of Icelandic Employers and NasdaqOMX Iceland. The guidelines were first issued in 2004 and the third edition was issued in June 2009, see [here](#).

Communication and acquisition of information

In recent years the Chambers has worked heavily on preparing accessible information and communicating them to foreign parties which are interested in Iceland's business and financial environment.

Legal counsel and arbitration

The Chambers lawyer supervises various projects for members, free of charge. The lawyer assists members with matters relating e.g. to import and export of goods, employee and employer relations and specific law or regulations regarding companies.

A backbone for business education

The ICoC is an active advocate of technical and business education. Globalization and openness of the Icelandic economy has increased demand for educated individuals in Icelandic companies. To meet this demand, the ICoC owns and operates the following educational institutions:

The Commercial College of Iceland

The Commercial College of Iceland is a four-year senior high school / college for students 15 years + who have completed the Icelandic 'grunnskóli', or grades 1-10. The College has a student population of over one thousand students. The main objectives of the college are to promote the competitiveness of Icelandic business, both internally and internationally, by providing and furthering education in general and business education at secondary and tertiary levels in particular.

Figure 49 The logo and main building of the Commercial College of Iceland



Reykjavik University

Reykjavik University is a vibrant international university located at the heart of Reykjavik, the capital of Iceland. Reykjavik University (RU) is Iceland's largest private university and counts about 3,000 students. The university's focus is on research, excellence in teaching, entrepreneurship, law, technology development and co-operation with the active business community. The university's objective is to educate students to become leaders in business, technology and society at large, starting new companies and creating jobs.

Figure 50 The logo and main entrance of Reykjavik University



Joining the Iceland Chamber of Commerce

A membership to the Chamber gives companies an opportunity to influence its strategy and to promote their interests in a robust forum. The issues that the Chamber deals with on a daily basis can both concern the business community as a whole and also specific interests of individual member companies. For more information about the role of the Chamber and joining the Chamber contact Ragnar Thorvardarson (ragnar@vi.is). More information about the Chamber can be found [here](#).

Information about the location and opening hours of the ICoC office can be found [here](#).



Appendices

Appendix 1: Timeline of the Icelandic Financial Crisis

2008

September 29

- Government announces takeover of 75% share in Glitnir and EUR 600 m equity injection
- S&P downgrades Glitnir to BBB; Sovereign to A-

September 30

- Fitch downgrades sovereign to A-; Landsbanki to BBB; Kaupthing to BBB; Glitnir to BBB-
- Moody's downgrades sovereign to Aa1; Landsbanki to A2; Kaupthing to A1; Glitnir to Baa2

October 4-5

- Government evaluates crisis in an attempt to save banking sector.

October 6

- Emergency Law Act is introduced and passed by parliament; government guarantees all domestic deposits
- Icelandic FSA seizes control of Landsbanki under terms of emergency law
- S&P downgrades sovereign to BBB

October 7

- Assets of Landsbanki seized by UK authorities; Landsbanki Luxembourg goes into administration
- FSA seizes control of Glitnir under terms of emergency law
- Central Bank extends EUR 500 m loan to Kaupthing; announces intentions to

negotiate EUR 4 bn loan with Russian Government

- Central Bank announces exchange rate peg and starts by selling EUR 6 m. at ISKEUR 131
- Fitch downgrades sovereign to BBB-; Landsbanki to B; Glitnir to B
- S&P downgrades Glitnir to CCC

October 8

- Central Bank announces intention to maintain currency peg; later announces that peg is not sustainable and has been abandoned.
- Swedish Central Bank extends SEK 5 bn loan to Kaupthing Sweden
- British authorities put Kaupthing Edge and Kaupthing Singer & Friedlander into administration
- British PM threatens to sue Icelandic Government to claim guarantee for Icesave deposits
- Icelandic PM states that sale of Landsbanki assets will be used to refund Icesave depositors
- Fitch downgrades sovereign to BBB-; Landsbanki to D; Kaupthing to CCC; Glitnir to D
- Moody's downgrades sovereign to A1 and puts on review for further downgrade; Kaupthing to Baa3; Glitnir to Caa2
- Board of Kaupthing requests FSA to assume control of bank

October 9

- Dutch finance minister threatens to sue Icelandic Government over Icesave deposit guarantee; British PM reiterates threats
- Fitch downgrades Kaupthing to CC
- Moody's downgrades Kaupthing to Caa2
- S&P downgrades Glitnir to D

October 10

- Dutch delegation arrives in Reykjavik; initiates talks with treasury department about Icesave
- Central Bank announces limits on currency outflow; low amounts for travel and import restricted to necessities, companies must apply for currency to the CB

October 11

- British delegation initiates talks with treasury department about Icesave deposits
- Accord signed with Dutch Government on loan to guarantee a refund of Icesave deposits
- Outlines of an accord with British Government on loan to guarantee refund of Icesave deposits

October 14

- Central Bank delegation starts talks in Moscow with Russian Finance Ministry on loan to Iceland
- Central Bank draws on swap facility arrangements with Denmark and Norway, each by EUR 200 m.
- Moody's confirms sovereign credit rating issued on October 8 (A1)

October 15

- Central Bank lowers policy rate by 350 bp to 12%

- Central Bank introduces auction system to meet demand for foreign currency, resulting in an allocation of EUR 25m. at a ISKEUR rate of 150.
- Fitch issues credit update for sovereign debt holding rating steady at BBB- on negative watch.
- Iceland and Russia agree to continue discussions on a possible loan.
- New Glitnir starts operations with ISK 110 bn in capital

October 16

- Central Bank announces that it guarantees that all payments will reach ultimate beneficiaries' accounts with local banks in an attempt to revitalize payment system.

October 22

- New Kaupthing starts operations with capital of ISK 75 bn
- Norwegian delegation arrives in Iceland to gather information about the the situation.

October 24

- Government announces an agreement ad referendum with an IMF mission of USD 2 bn stand-by-facility programme.
- Government formally requests assistance from the Nordic countries, the ECB and the FED.
- Delegation from the US Treasury Department arrives in Reykjavik.

October 27

- High-level Nordic committee established to present proposals for Nordic assistance to Iceland in cooperation with the IMF.

October 28

- Central Bank raises policy rate by 600 bp to 18%.
- Faroe Islands pledge DKK 300 million loan for Iceland.

November 19

- The Icelandic Government enters into a program with the IMF which approves a two-year SDR 1.4 billion (about US\$2.1 billion) Stand-By Arrangement to support the country's program to restore confidence and stabilize the economy.

November 28

- New foreign exchange regulation is implemented

2009

January 2

- OMX Iceland 15 index replaced with a new index, the OMXI6.
- Mr. Geir H. Haarde, Prime minister at the time, announces that national elections will be held in May 2009.
- Coalition government of Independence Party and Social Democratic Alliance comes to an end.
- New government formed - a coalition of the Social Democratic Alliance and Left-Green Movement. The coalition is backed up by Progressive Party.
- Election date set for April 25th 2009.

February

- Parliament passes new act on Central Bank, subsequently Mr. Svein Harald Øygard is appointed interim Governor of the bank and Mr. Arnór Sighvatsson is appointed interim Deputy Governor.

April 4 2009

- Parliament approved amendments to the Act on Foreign currency

April 24 2009

- National elections for Parliament, in the elections the Social Democrats and Left-Greens get majority of seats in the Parliament.
- Coalition Government of the two parties continued.

June 2009

- Local and central governments, Confederation of Employers and Confederation of Employees sign The Stability Pact

June 26 2009

- Prime minister appoints Mr. Már Guðmundsson as new Governor of Central Bank, for a five year term, and Mr. Arnór Sighvatsson as Deputy Governor, for a four year term.

July 16 2009

- Parliament votes in favor of applying for membership to the EU.

July 17 2009

- Iceland's application for the EU submitted to the Swedish Government, which holds EU presidency.

July 20 2009

- Agreement announced on the initial capitalization of the new banks.

August 2009

- Laws passed on the Icelandic Banking Agency

August 5 2009

- Central bank introduces Capital Account Liberalization Strategy – First step implemented November 1st.

August 28 2009

- IceSave - Parliament passes an Act authorizing a state guarantee for the loans granted by the Government of the UK and the Netherlands to the Depositors' and Investors' Guarantee Fund of Iceland

September 2 2009

- IceSave – President of Iceland signs IceSave act with a special reference to preconditions set by the Parliament.

October 2009

- Rules on foreign exchange tightened to hinder parties being able to exchange ISK abroad and import them at a more favorable exchange rate.

October 15 2009

- Resolution Committee of Glitnir acquires 95% of the share capital in Islandsbanki against 5% held by the Government

October 18 2009

- IceSave – Re-negotiations concluded with Governments of the UK and Netherlands

October 22 2009

- Answers to EU Commission questionnaire formally presented to Olli Rehn, the EU Commissioner for Enlargement

October 28 2009

- IMF's Executive Board approves first review of the Economic Recovery Program for Iceland
- Local and central governments, Confederation of Employers and Confederation of Employees sign an extension to The Stability Pact.

November 2 2009

- Minister of Foreign Affairs, Mr. Ossur Skarphedinsson, appoints Ambassador Mr. Stefan Haukur Johannesson to serve as Iceland's Chief negotiator in EU negotiations

November 5 2009

- Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) lowers both the deposit and loan rates to 11% and 9%.

December 2009

- Representatives from the IMF visit Iceland to undertake discussions for second review

December 1 2009

- Resolution Committee of Kaupthing acquires 87% of common equity in Arion Bank against 13% held by the Government

December 10 2009

- Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) lowers both the deposit and loan rates to 10% and 8.5%.

December 16 2009

- Final agreement announced between Ministry of Finance and the Resolution Committee of Landsbanki Íslands hf. The government acquires 81% of the share capital in Landsbanki (NBI hf.) against 19% held by the Resolution Committee of Landsbanki Íslands hf.

December 30 2009

- IceSave – New law passed in the Parliament on conditions of the October re-negotiations

2010**January 2010**

- FSA authorizes ISB holding ehf on behalf of creditors of Glitnir to own a qualifying holding in Íslandsbanki. Similarly the FSA authorizes Kaupskil ehf. on behalf of creditors of Kaupthing to acquire a qualifying holding in Arion Bank.

January 5 2010

- IceSave - President of Iceland, Ólafur Ragnar Grímsson, declines to sign law passed

on December 30th. Law will be put under national referendum as soon as possible.

January 19 2010

- Minister of Justice, Ragna Árnadóttir, sets a date on national referendum. The referendum is set for March 6 2010.

March 6 2010

- A national referendum was held to authorize the Treasury to guarantee the Icesave deposits. The guarantee was rejected with 98% of valid votes.

April 12 2010

- The Parliamentary Special Investigation Commission (SIC) published a report on the background and causes of the Icelandic financial crisis.

April 14 2010

- The volcanic glacier Eyjafjallajökull erupted. The eruption caused severe disruptions in air traffic across Europe and dramatically reduced the number of visitors to Iceland during the summer.

April 16 2010

- The IMF approved the second review of Iceland's economic programme. This made a third part of the IMF loans available as well as loan facilities from the Nordic countries and Poland

April 22 2010

- The FME took over Byr Savings Bank and Keflavik Savings Bank, following unsuccessful negotiations with creditors. Both institutions became state-owned.

May 18 2010

- The Central Bank of Iceland concluded an agreement with the Banque centrale du Luxembourg (BCL) and the liquidator of Landsbanki Luxembourg S.A., concerning the purchase of all outstanding Avens B.V. bonds. The Central bank sold the bonds to domestic pension funds on May 31st. The total nominal value of the bonds is 90.2 b.kr.. The transaction has a positive overall effect on the Icelandic economy since it reduces both total debt and net debt by over 3½% of GDP and the foreign exchange balance of the Treasury and the Central Bank improves by the equivalent of 5½% of GDP.

May 26 2010

- The EFTA Surveillance Authority (ESA) declared that, in its opinion, Iceland was obliged to guarantee the Icesave deposits in the amount of EUR 20,000 per account.

June 9 2010

- The People's Bank of China and the Central Bank of Iceland signed a bilateral currency swap agreement, amounting to ISK 66 billion.

June 17 2010

- Iceland received candidate status for a membership of the EU. Ten days later, the accession negotiations with the European Union were formally opened.

September 19 2010

- The Central Bank sold a 99.89% stake in the Danish bank FIH, which it had accepted as a collateral for a last-resort loan to Kaupthing Bank in October 2008 in the

amount of 500 million euros. The sale price was about 670 million euros.

2011

January 11 2011

- The IMF approved the fourth review of the economic program with Iceland.

March 25th 2011

- A report was introduced by the Central Bank of Iceland and the ministry of economic affairs that contains a new strategy for liberalization of capital controls.

April 6th 2011

- The first formal meeting of the new Constitutional Council took place.

April 9th 2011

- A second national referendum is held on the Icesave issue, this time to vote on the Lee Buchheit agreement. The agreement was rejected with 40% of voters voting for the agreement and 59% voting against it.

April 12th 2011

- Lars Christensen, Chief Analyst of Danske Bank, states that a substantial output gap exists in the Icelandic economy and the Icelandic Krona would strengthen substantially in the coming years. He expects an output balance to be reached around mid-year 2014.

Appendix 2: More on the Emergency Bank Act

As previously mentioned the Icelandic parliament passed on October 6th a new law, allowing the Financial Supervisory Authority (FSA) to take over the operations of the banks in unusual and extraordinary circumstances in order to limit damage or the risk of damage in the financial markets. One of the provisions approved in the new Emergency Bank Act, is that deposits are to be considered a priority claim on the bank's estates. This was done in order to secure the interests of depositors in the foreign branches. Ideally, a bank's assets will be worth enough to cover all or most outstanding deposits.

These unusual and extraordinary circumstances are stipulated further in art. 1 ph. 2. This list of circumstances is however not exhaustive; the FSA can thus, in other circumstances, apply its authority given by the law as long as the board of the FSA approves. The Central bank, which monitors liquidity status of financial institutions, appoints one member to the FSA board. The Central bank therefore has first hand information about the status of financial institutions and probable FSA actions in that regard.

The FSA has, on basis of this new law, taken over Iceland's three biggest banks and the procedure has been as follows:

1. The boards were dismissed
2. Special Resolution Committees were appointed to take over board activities and management
3. New companies were founded around the old banks domestic operations
4. The FSA decides on disposal of assets and liabilities of the old banks to the new companies
5. The Government, the Resolution Committees and the new banks came to an

agreement on capitalization of the new banks and compensation to creditors of the old banks.

6. The Resolution Committee of Glitnir, on behalf of its creditors, decided to acquire 95% of the new bank, Íslandsbanki.
7. The Resolution Committee of Kaupthing, on behalf of its creditors, decided to acquire 87% of the new bank, Arion Bank (previously known as New Kaupthing). The government will hold a 13% share in Arion bank.
8. NBI bank, built on the domestic operations of Landsbankinn, will be owned by the Government.
9. In January 2010 the FSA authorized Kaupskil ehf., on behalf of the creditors of Kaupthing, to acquire a qualifying holding in Arion Bank hf. Similarly the FSA authorized ISB Holding ehf. on behalf of the creditors of Glitnir, to own a qualifying holding in Íslandsbanki See [here](#) and [here](#).

Competition authority to receive broader powers to break up dominant firms. See [here](#).

An overview of the reforms in the financial sector since October 2008 until April 2010 can be found [here](#).

Information on the number of investigations and progress of those investigations can be found [here](#)

Appendix 3: Q&A About Foreign Exchange Matters

The Central Bank of Iceland has published questions and answers about the recent changes in the Foreign Exchange Act of 1992 and the Bank's new Rules on Foreign Exchange. See [here](#).

Appendix 4: New Act on the Central Bank of Iceland

Act no. 5/2009 amending the Act on the Central Bank of Iceland, no. 36/2001, was passed by Parliament in late February. The new Act provides for one Governor and one Deputy Governor within the Central Bank instead of a Board of Governors. Decisions on applying the Central Bank's monetary policy instruments will henceforth be taken by a Monetary Policy Committee. In other respects, the Bank's direction will be in the hands of the Governor.

According to the new law, the Board of Governors of the Central Bank of Iceland has been abolished, together with the positions of the three Governors currently sitting on the Board, including the position of Chairman of the Board of Governors.

Pursuant to the new law, the Prime Minister appointed Mr. Svein Harald Øygard to act as interim Governor and Mr. Arnór Sighvatsson, the Bank's Chief Economist, to act as interim Deputy Governor. The interim Governor and Deputy Governor would hold these positions until appointments had been made by the Prime Minister on the basis of advertisements, in accordance with the provisions of the Act.

Information on Svein Harald Øygard can be found [here](#).

Information on the current Central bank Governor

On 26th of June the Prime Minister appointed Mr. Már Guðmundsson as the new Governor of the Central Bank, for a term of five years, and Mr. Arnór Sighvatsson as Deputy Governor, for a term of four years. The Governor appointed on 15th of September Mr. Þórarinn G. Pétursson as the Chief Economist of the Central Bank. Information about the Central Bank's new Governors' and new Chief Economist can be found [here](#) and [here](#).

The Monetary Policy Committee of the Central Bank has published reports on their activities. They can be found [here](#).

Information on the decision by the MPC can be found [here](#). Monetary policy instruments and transmission mechanism see [here](#) and [here](#).

Appendix 5: The IMF Loan Package and Other Financing

On November 19th the IMF approved Iceland's request for a two year stand-by arrangement. Iceland will receive USD 2.1 billion from the IMF. Additional loans of up to USD 3 billion have been secured from Denmark, Finland, Norway, Sweden, and Poland. These loans are based on Iceland's agreement with IMF. The Faroe Islands have announced that they would lend Iceland USD 50 million. The loans main purpose is to buttress Iceland's liquid foreign exchange reserves, and by doing so increasing the economy's credibility and its capabilities to address possible capital outflow, and protect the króna, ones the currency restrictions have been lifted.

As a part of the 1st review of the IMF program the first tranche of Nordic loans was disbursed to Iceland on December 21st 2009. The first tranche amounted to 300 million euro's. See [here](#).

See information about the latest IMF review's in [Chapter 12](#).

For information on the IMF stabilization program and the progress being made, please refer to the [IMF's website](#) and for the most recent publications on Iceland from the IMF please refer to the section on Iceland, see [here](#).

a) The IMF loan

On 19th of November the Executive Board of the IMF approved a two-year SDR 1.4 billion (about US\$2.1 billion) Stand-By Arrangement for Iceland.

The approval made SDR 560 million (about US\$827 million) immediately available and the remainder is available in eight equal installments of SDR 105 million (about US\$155 million), subject to quarterly reviews. Iceland has already drawn the initial \$827 million and was be able to draw the first of eight installments after the 1st Review of the IMF Economic Program was approved by IMF's Executive Board.

Further information on the IMF loan can be found [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#).

b) The Nordic loans

In July 2009 the Nordic countries agreed to loan Iceland in total of 1.775 billion Euros, which is equivalent to 2.5 billion US dollars or 317 billion ISK. The loan agreements

with Denmark, Finland, and Sweden are between the Icelandic Government and the governments concerned, while the agreement with Norway is between the two countries' central banks. The loans will be disbursed in four equal tranches tied to the International Monetary Fund's reviews of the Icelandic economic program.

The loans will be disbursed in Euros with an overall maturity of 12 years, with installment payments of principal deferred for the first five years during which time interest will be paid quarterly. At the end of the five-year period, the principal amount will be repaid in equal quarterly installments for the remainder of the loan period. The loans will bear variable (floating) interest based on three-month EURIBOR rates, plus a 2.75 per cent premium.

Further information on the Nordic loans can be found [here](#).

As a part of the 1st review of the IMF program the first tranche of Nordic loans was disbursed to Iceland on December 21st 2009. The first tranche amounted to 300 million euro's. See [here](#).

c) The Polish loan

In October 2009 The Republic of Poland agreed to lend the Republic of Iceland 200 million US dollars (USD). The loan's overall maturity of 12 years, with a grace period of five years. The loan will be disbursed in three equal tranches tied to the second, third and fourth reviews of Iceland's IMF program, with the payment of each tranche conditional on the approval of the respective review.

The loan will be denominated and disbursed in Polish z²oty (PLN). The net cost to the borrower will consist only of an interest margin to be paid simultaneously with interest payments on the Polish Treasury Bonds issued by the Polish Treasury. This interest margin will be 2% per annum until 31 December 2015 and 1.3% per annum thereafter. The loan will be repaid in four installments coinciding with the maturity dates of the selected Polish Treasury Bonds, in October 2015, 2017 and 2019, and in September 2022.

Further information on the loan from Poland can be found [here](#).

d) The Faroe Island loan

A loan agreement was signed between the Government of the Faroe Islands and the Republic of Iceland in March 2009. Under the agreement, the Government of the Faroe Islands will lend the Republic of Iceland 300 million Danish kroner. The loan was the first bilateral loan of this type extended to Iceland.

Further information on the loan from the Faroe Islands can be found [here](#)

c) The Russian loan

The Russian Government indicated in November 2008 its willingness to lend up to 500 million dollars. The loan agreement negotiations have ended.

d) The Chinese currency swap

On June 9th 2010 the People's Bank of China and the Central Bank of Iceland signed a bilateral currency swap agreement. The amount of the agreement is 3.5billion CNY/66billion ISK. The agreement has 3 year maturity and can be extended after both parties agree. This agreement is independent of the IMF program that Iceland is a part of. See [Chapter 13](#).

Appendix 6: More information on the IMF program

After the first review of the IMF program leading up to the Icesave referendum the Prime Minister of Iceland urged the IMF to continue the Economic Program in a press release regardless of the planned national referendum on the Icesave Act. See [here](#)

Mr. Strauss-Kahn, Managing director of the IMF, answered a couple of questions in January 2010 on Iceland and the delay of the 2nd review. See [here](#)

The second review was scheduled in early spring 2010. Some discussions between Icelandic ministers and IMF took place before the second review in late March. See [here](#)

An IMF staff Report that was written leading up to the Second Review under the IMF program. See the full report [here](#). The report states that, *"Indications are growing that Iceland's recession, while deep, will be less severe than expected. Program policies have contributed to this, and all relevant performance criteria for the second review have been met. A recovery is still expected to commence in 2010, but it will face headwinds from the private sector debt overhang and from fiscal consolidation."* See the full report [here](#).

In a statement following the July leading up to the 3rd review the fund concluded that:

"The program has been delivering results. The recession has been shallower than expected, inflation has come down, external imbalances have unwound, and the krona has stabilized. Public finances have been strengthened and the framework for banking supervision and regulation has been reformed. The successes to date can be built upon with continued resolve and timely policy implementation."

Appendix 7: Macroeconomic Forecasts and Other Data Sources

DataMarket.com is a data portal that provides access to statistics and structured data from over 30 public and private sector organizations. This makes the data accessible in a single place enabling users to search, visualize and compare data from different data sources. Time series can be linked to news events and any selected data can be downloaded in a format suitable for use elsewhere. See [here](#).

[Statistics Iceland](#) is the centre for official statistics in Iceland

For further information on macroeconomic forecasts, please review:

- Central Bank of Iceland, [Monetary Bulletin](#), four issues per year
- Statistics Iceland, Economic Forecast for 2010-2015 from November 2010 can be found [here](#) (Previously conducted at the Ministry of Finance) an earlier version from June 2010 can be found [here](#). (mostly in Icelandic)
- OECD Economic Outlook, November 2010, see [here](#).
- An overview of economic indicators of the Central Bank can be found [here](#)
- [Report on Financial Stability](#), latest publication in June 2010
- [Inflation forecast](#) by the Central bank. See also the latest Monetary bulletin.
- The Economy of Iceland -The Central bank of Iceland issues a brief introduction to the economy of Iceland, historical information and latest economic developments called [The Economy of Iceland](#). The latest publication is from October 2010.

- Annual report of the Central bank and monthly economic indicators can be found [here](#) and [here](#)
- [Key economic figures](#) from Statistics Iceland
- The Central bank of Iceland publishes regularly a report on financial stability. See [here](#)

Other Publications and Economic Forecasts

Below you can find a few recent publications in English:

- Confederation of Icelandic employers published [Iceland's economic situation](#) in autumn 2010.
- The former minister of Economic Affairs, Dr. Gylfi Magnússon held a lecture in early April named The Icelandic Economy and Outlook. These slides are a good source of information on the economic environment in Iceland. The slides can be found [here](#).
- Mr. Magnússon also held another lecture, The Icelandic Economy: Recovering From a Very Hard Landing by see [here](#). In that lecture he covers the background of the crisis leading up to the collapse, the first signs of trouble, the collapse and the recovery.
- Statistics Iceland, Economic Forecast from June 2010 can be found [here](#)
- OECD Economic policy reforms: [Going for growth](#) in March 2010
- OECD [Economic Survey](#), September 2009
- Ministry of Finance, [forecast for 2009](#)
- Ministry of Finance, [forecast for 2009-2014](#).
- The Ministry of finance issued an Economic Outlook in the years between 2002 and 2009 that can be found [here](#). The Economic Outlook is now out of publication.
- The ministry also issued weekly web releases from 2009 until September 2009. See [here](#).

The Ministry of Finance published a Macroeconomic forecast for 2009-2014 in the fall of 2009. A few highlights of the report are listed below. The report in its entirety can be found [here](#) (Note the outlook has improved since the release of this report in the fall of 2009)

Information on current Credit ratings of Iceland can be found [here](#) and [here](#)

More information on the monetary policy of the central bank and their current stance can be found in the Monetary Bulletin. See [here](#).

Keldan.com provides data on the financial market and up to date stock prices and other indices. See [here](#).

Information on investment opportunities and how to do business in Iceland can be found [here](#) and [here](#).

Appendix 8: Icesave Timeline

1. On August 28th 2009 the Icelandic parliament, Althingi signs a law authorizing a state guarantee for the loans granted by the Governments of the United Kingdom and the Netherlands to the Depositors' and Investors' Guarantee Fund of Iceland. The law was signed with certain preconditions.
2. The president of Iceland decided to sign the Act on 2 September 2009, with a

special written reference to the preconditions set by the Althingi.

3. In light of the pre-conditions the Icelandic Government had to re-negotiate with the Governments of the United Kingdom and the Netherlands. The re-negotiations process was concluded on 18th of October 2009 with the Governments of the United Kingdom and the Netherlands accepting the principal points of the conditions set by the Parliament.
4. Since the agreement is not identical to the law passed in August a new law needed to be passed. After several weeks of discussions a new law was passed on December 30th 2009.
5. On January 5th 2010 the President of Iceland declined to sign the law passed on December 30th. According to the constitution the law remains in force but is put under a national referendum.
6. The government appoints a negotiation committee to hold talks with the Britain and Netherlands. No agreement was made before the referendum.
7. Referendum was held on March 6th. Only 1,5% voted in favor of passing the bill. The December Icesave Act was therefore repealed and the Act passed on August 28th 2009 will continue to be in force, recognizing that the government of Iceland acknowledges their obligations to the Governments of the UK and the Netherlands.
8. Informal talks took place from March 2010 until late August 2010 when informal negotiations started. See [Chapter 10](#).
9. Formal talks were held in early September 2010.
10. The negotiating committees of Iceland, the UK and the Netherlands reached an agreement in early December 2010. The new deal is expected to cost the Icelandic authorities between 32 billion ISK.
11. The agreement was passed in Althingi in February.
12. The president referred the bill to a referendum in late February.
13. The Second Icesave referendum was held on April 9th. Around 60% voted against the law. The act was therefore repealed.

Appendix 9: Icesave issue - Background material

Adapted from an earlier version of this report

In a press release regarding the decision of the president in January 2010 to decline to sign the Icesave act the Government of Iceland emphasized that it remains fully committed to implementing the bilateral loan agreements with the UK and the Netherlands and thus the state guarantee provided for by the law. The Government views the loan agreements with the UK and the Netherlands as an integral part of Iceland's economic program. The Government economic recovery plan developed in cooperation with and supported by the International Monetary Fund and with financing from the IMF and governments of the Nordic countries and Poland, remains in effect. The press release can be found [here](#).

The Icelandic Minister of Finance conferred with his Nordic counterparts explaining the situation that arose following the denial of the President in January 2010. The parties discussed the impact and repercussions this could have on the continuation of disbursements and the implementation of the loan agreements which are in place between Iceland and the Nordic countries, which are a key factor in Iceland's economic recovery program agreed with the IMF. See [here](#).

The prime minister of Iceland wrote an article in the UK Observer explaining the commitment of the Icelandic government to honoring its obligations. See [here](#).

Another interview with the PM following the decision can be found [here](#)

The Icesave Act from August 2009 can be found [here](#).

The Ministry of foreign Affairs has published background information and some basic facts about the Icesave debate. See [here](#) and [here](#)

The president was in an interview with Bloomberg business news network on January 7th 2010 where he explains the implications of his decision and his view on the impact on the credit rating of Iceland. See [here](#).

Following are a couple of articles written by Icelandic economist on the Icesave dispute that were written before the referendum. They contain useful information and statistics. See [here](#) and [here](#).

Appendix 10: Impact of Icesave on credit ratings

January until March

Following the decision of the president in January 2010 to decline to sign the Icesave act the credit rating of Iceland was lowered by Fitch ratings to BB+/BBB+; with a negative outlook. The lower credit rating does however not impact the ability or the cost of borrowing for Icelandic authorities since it is funded by the IMF and other loans from Nordic countries. Therefore the ratings have minimal effect on the cost of funding for the Icelandic Authorities. However, the decision could have some impact on Icelandic firms, in the short run, if the uncertainty surrounding the issue is not resolved.

Other rating agencies put Iceland on a watch list with negative outlook. Like was mentioned before this has little practical significance for Iceland since it is funded by the IMF program and political lending from Nordic nations. Standard & Poor's placed Iceland on a watch list after the decision not to sign. See [here](#) and also again in February [here](#).

On March 31st 2010 Standard and Poor's confirmed the credit rating of Icelandic authorities in foreign currency but lowered it in domestic currency. See information on the decision [here](#) and a new report by Standard and Poor's on Iceland [here](#).

Credit ratings on the rise after the 2nd IMF review – April until August

Moody's raised the outlook for Iceland from negative to stable on April 24th 2010 shortly after the IMF had concluded the 2nd review of the economic program. Moody's states in a press release that the change of outlook was driven by the improvement in Iceland's external liquidity due to the restoration of financing from the IMF and Nordic governments. "The successful passage of the IMF review and re-instatement of the Nordic credit line ensures that Iceland's external liquidity is now secure" says Kenneth Orchard, Vice-President/Senior Credit Officer in Moody's Sovereign Risk Group. "The resumption of official financial inflows into the country should also boost confidence and support the ongoing economic recovery." See the full press release [here](#).

On July 29th 2010 Moody's changed the rating outlook for Iceland's Baa3 local and foreign currency government bond ratings to negative from stable. See [here](#).

On November 18th 2010 the Japanese rating agency R&I announced today that it had

downgraded the Republic of Iceland's foreign currency rating to BB+ from BBB-. See [here](#).

Information on Iceland's CDS spread can be found [here](#).

Information on current Credit ratings of Iceland can be found [here](#) and [here](#).



ICELAND CHAMBER
OF COMMERCE

